


Contents lists available at [ScienceDirect](http://ScienceDirect)

## Advances in Applied Mathematics

[www.elsevier.com/locate/yaama](http://www.elsevier.com/locate/yaama)

# On the theory of resonances in non-relativistic quantum electrodynamics and related models

W.K. Abou Salem<sup>a,1</sup>, J. Faupin<sup>b,2</sup>, J. Fröhlich<sup>c</sup>, I.M. Sigal<sup>a,\*,1</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Department of Mathematics, University of Toronto, Toronto, Ontario, Canada M5S 2E4

<sup>b</sup> Institut de Mathématiques de Bordeaux, UMR-CNRS 5251, Université de Bordeaux 1, 351 cours de la libération, 33405 Talence cedex, France

<sup>c</sup> Institute for Theoretical Physics, ETH Zurich, CH-8093, Switzerland

## ARTICLE INFO

### Article history:

Received 20 February 2008

Revised 23 June 2008

Accepted 24 June 2008

Available online 17 April 2009

### MSC:

46N50

47N50

81Q10

81T17

81V10

### Keywords:

Quantum electrodynamics

Quantum resonances

Renormalization group

## ABSTRACT

We study the mathematical theory of quantum resonances in the standard model of non-relativistic quantum electrodynamics (QED) and in Nelson's model. In particular, we estimate the survival probability of metastable states corresponding to quantum resonances and relate the resonances to poles of an analytic continuation of matrix elements of the resolvent of the quantum Hamiltonian.

© 2009 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

## 1. Introduction

One of the early triumphs of Quantum Mechanics has been to enable one to calculate the discrete energy spectrum and the corresponding stationary states — eigenstates of the quantum Hamiltonian — of atoms and molecules. This was done while neglecting their interactions with the quantized

\* Corresponding author.

E-mail addresses: [wali@math.utoronto.ca](mailto:wali@math.utoronto.ca) (W.K. Abou Salem), [jeremy.faupin@math.u-bordeaux1.fr](mailto:jeremy.faupin@math.u-bordeaux1.fr) (J. Faupin), [juerg@phys.ethz.ch](mailto:juerg@phys.ethz.ch) (J. Fröhlich), [im.sigal@utoronto.ca](mailto:im.sigal@utoronto.ca) (I.M. Sigal).

<sup>1</sup> The research of these authors is supported by NSERC under Grant #7901.

<sup>2</sup> Supported by the Center for Theory in Natural Science.

electromagnetic field. However, if these interactions are taken into account, stationary states corresponding to discrete energies, save for the groundstate, are absent. It is interpreted that they turn into metastable states with energies close to the discrete energies, or eigenvalues, of the non-interacting atoms or molecules. It is the latter energies that show up in interpretation of the data of atomic and molecular spectroscopy.

The decay of metastable states is accompanied by emission of photons with nearly discrete energies equal to differences between energies of metastable states (Bohr's frequency condition). These metastable states are called “(quantum) resonances”. Their analysis is the subject of this paper: We develop some key ingredients of the mathematical theory of resonances for the standard model of “non-relativistic quantum electrodynamics” (QED) and for Nelson's model of electrons interacting with quantized (longitudinal lattice) vibrations, i.e., phonons. Due to the interactions of electrons with massless field quanta — photons or phonons — the standard techniques to analyze quantum-mechanical resonances developed during the past thirty or so years (see, e.g., [13,15] and references therein) cannot be applied to realistic models of atoms or molecules. Our goal, in this paper, is to modify these techniques to cover the present models.

Before introducing the models we explain the resonance problem in general terms. Let  $H_g$  be a quantum Hamiltonian, where  $g$  is a real parameter called the coupling constant. Assume there is a one-parameter family of unitary transformations,  $\theta \rightarrow \mathcal{U}_\theta$ , with  $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$ , s.t. the family  $H_{g,\theta} := \mathcal{U}_\theta H_g \mathcal{U}_\theta^{-1}$  has an analytic continuation in  $\theta$  to a disc  $D(0, \theta_0)$  in the complex plane. We call such an analytic continuation a *complex deformation* of  $H_g$ . We note that, while the essential spectrum of  $H_{g,\theta}$  usually changes dramatically under such an analytic continuation, the eigenvalues are locally independent of  $\theta$ , for suitably chosen deformation transformations  $\mathcal{U}_\theta$ , at least when they are isolated. Moreover, the real eigenvalues of  $H_{g,\theta}$  coincide with the eigenvalues of  $H_g$ . The complex eigenvalues of  $H_{g,\theta}$ ,  $\text{Im } \theta > 0$ , are called the (quantum  $\mathcal{U}$ -)resonance eigenvalues — or just resonance eigenvalues — of the Hamiltonian  $H_g$ . The transformations most commonly used is the group of dilatations of positions and momenta (see below), and the corresponding resonances are sometimes called “dilatation resonances”.

It is plausible from our definition that resonances — at least for weakly coupled systems ( $g$  small) — are closely related to eigenvalues of  $H_{g=0}$ . But what is their physical significance?

Physically, one thinks of quantum resonances as long-lived metastable states or as “bumps” in the scattering cross-section as a function of energy. The energies and life-times of metastable states are given by the bumps' centers and the inverse of the bumps' widths. A known approach to establish such properties is as follows. Let  $\mathcal{D} \subset \mathcal{H}$  denote the dense linear subspace of  $\mathcal{U}$ -entire vectors, i.e., vectors  $\psi$  for which the family  $\{\psi_\theta := \mathcal{U}_\theta \psi\}_{\theta \in \mathbb{R}}$  has an analytic continuation to the entire complex plane. For such vectors one has the “Combes formula”

$$(\psi, (H_g - z)^{-1} \psi) = (\psi_\theta, (H_{g,\theta} - z)^{-1} \psi_\theta). \quad (1.1)$$

If we continue the r.h.s. analytically, first in  $\theta$  and then in  $z$ , then we see that matrix elements,  $(\psi, (H_g - z)^{-1} \psi)$ , of the resolvent, for  $z \in \mathbb{C}$ ,  $\text{Im } z > 0$ , and  $\psi \in \mathcal{D}$ , have an analytic continuation in  $z$  across the essential spectrum of  $H_g$  to the “second Riemann sheet” whenever the resolvent set of the operator  $H_{g,\theta}$ ,  $\text{Im } \theta > 0$ , contains a part of this essential spectrum.<sup>3</sup> Clearly, eigenvalues of  $H_{g,\theta}$ ,  $\text{Im } \theta > 0$ , in the lower complex half-plane,  $\mathbb{C}^-$ , are poles of this analytic continuation, provided these eigenvalues are isolated.

In the latter case, the metastability property can be established (at least, for weakly coupled systems) by using the relation — via the Fourier transform — between the propagator and the resolvent, contour deformation and Cauchy's theorem (see [12,13]). The “bumpiness” of the cross-section can be connected to the resonance poles. The real and imaginary parts of the resonance eigenvalues give the energy and the rate of decay, or the reciprocal life-time, of the metastable state.

<sup>3</sup> Here we use the terms Riemann sheet and Riemann surface informally. However, we expect that the matrix elements (1.1) do have a Riemann surface ramified at the resonances of  $H_g$ .

The situation described above is exactly the one encountered in Quantum Mechanics. In non-relativistic QED and phonon models, the resonance eigenvalues are *not isolated*; more precisely, a branch of essential spectrum is attached to every complex eigenvalue of the deformed Hamiltonian  $H_{g,\theta}$ . This is due to the fact that photons and phonons are *massless*. As a result, establishing the property of metastability and the pole structure of the resolvent (and the related bumpiness of the cross-section) becomes a challenge. In this paper, we prove, for non-relativistic QED and Nelson's model, the metastability property of resonances and characterize them in terms of poles of a meromorphic continuation of the matrix elements of the resolvent on a dense set of vectors.

Next, we introduce the models considered in this paper. The Hamiltonian of the QED model is defined as

$$H_g^{SM} := \sum_{j=1}^N \frac{1}{2m_j} (p_j + gA(x_j))^2 + V(x) + H_f, \quad (1.2)$$

where  $x = (x_1, \dots, x_N)$ ,  $p_j = -i\nabla_j$  denotes the momentum of the  $j$ th particle and  $m_j$  its mass, and  $V(x)$  is the potential energy of the particle system. Furthermore,  $A(y)$  denotes the quantized vector potential

$$A(y) = \sum_{\lambda \in \{-1, 1\}} \int \frac{d^3k}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{\chi(k)}{\sqrt{2|k|}} (e^{ik \cdot y} \epsilon_\lambda(k) a_\lambda(k) + e^{-ik \cdot y} \overline{\epsilon_\lambda(k)} a_\lambda^*(k)), \quad (1.3)$$

where  $k \in \mathbb{R}^3$ ,  $\chi$  is an ultraviolet cut-off that vanishes sufficiently fast at infinity, and  $\epsilon_\lambda(k)$ ,  $\lambda = -1, 1$ , are two transverse polarization vectors, i.e., orthonormal vectors in  $\mathbb{R}^3 \otimes \mathbb{C}$  satisfying  $k \cdot \epsilon_\lambda(k) = 0$ ; moreover,  $H_f$  is the photon (quantized electromagnetic field) Hamiltonian defined as

$$H_f = \sum_{\lambda=-1,1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \omega(k) a_\lambda^*(k) a_\lambda(k) dk, \quad (1.4)$$

where  $\omega(k) = |k|$ .

The operator-valued distributions  $a_\lambda(k)$  and  $a_\lambda^*(k)$  are annihilation and creation operators acting on the symmetric Fock space  $\mathcal{F}_s$  over  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{Z}_2)$ . They obey the canonical commutation relations

$$[a_\lambda^*(k), a_{\lambda'}^*(k')] = [a_\lambda(k), a_{\lambda'}(k')] = 0, \quad [a_\lambda(k), a_{\lambda'}^*(k')] = \delta(k - k') \delta_{\lambda, \lambda'}, \quad (1.5)$$

and

$$a_\lambda(k) \Omega = 0,$$

where  $\Omega \in \mathcal{F}_s$  is the vacuum vector.

The QED Hamiltonian  $H_g^{SM}$  acts on the Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}_p \otimes \mathcal{F}_s$ , where  $\mathcal{H}_p$  is the Hilbert space for  $N$  electrons, e.g.  $\mathcal{H}_p = L^2(\mathbb{R}^{3N})$  (neglecting permutation symmetry). In (1.2), Zeeman terms coupling the magnetic moments of the electrons to the magnetic field are neglected.

Nelson's model describes non-relativistic particles without spin interacting with a scalar, massless boson field. The Hamiltonian of the model acts on  $\mathcal{H}_p \otimes \mathcal{F}_s$ , where  $\mathcal{F}_s$  is the symmetric Fock space over  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)$ , and is given by

$$H_g^N := H_p \otimes I + I \otimes H_f + W_g. \quad (1.6)$$

Here,  $H_p = \sum_{j=1}^N p_j^2/2m_j + V$  denotes an  $N$ -particle Schrödinger operator on  $\mathcal{H}_p$ . We assume that its spectrum,  $\sigma(H_p)$ , consists of a sequence of discrete eigenvalues,  $\lambda_0, \lambda_1, \dots$ , below some real number  $\Sigma$  called the *ionization threshold*.

For  $k$  in  $\mathbb{R}^3$ , we denote by  $a^*(k)$  and  $a(k)$  the usual phonon creation and annihilation operators on  $\mathcal{F}_s$ . They are operator-valued distributions obeying the canonical commutation relations

$$[a^*(k), a^*(k')] = [a(k), a(k')] = 0, \quad [a(k), a^*(k')] = \delta(k - k'). \quad (1.7)$$

The operator associated with the energy of the free boson field,  $H_f$ , is given by the expression (1.4), except that the operators  $a^*(k)$  and  $a(k)$  now are scalar creation and annihilation operators as given above. The interaction  $W_g$  in (1.6) is assumed to be of the form

$$W_g = g\phi(G_x), \quad (1.8)$$

where

$$\phi(G_x) = \sum_{j=1}^N \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{\chi(k)}{|k|^{1/2-\mu}} [e^{-ik \cdot x_j} a^*(k) + e^{ik \cdot x_j} a(k)] dk. \quad (1.9)$$

As above, the function  $\chi(k)$  denotes an ultraviolet cut-off, and the parameter  $\mu$  is assumed to be positive.

Next, we state our assumptions on the potential and the ultraviolet cut-off  $\chi$ , in particular concerning analyticity under dilatations.

(A) The potential  $V(x)$  is dilatation analytic, i.e., the vector-function  $\theta \mapsto V(e^\theta x)(-\Delta + 1)^{-1}$  has an analytic continuation to a small complex disc  $D(0, \theta_0) \subset \mathbb{C}$ , for some  $\theta_0 > 0$ .

An example of a dilatation-analytic potential  $V$  is the Coulomb potential for  $N$  electrons and one fixed nucleus located at the origin. For a molecule in the Born–Oppenheimer approximation, the potential  $V(x)$  is not dilatation-analytic. In this case, one has to use a more general notion of distortion analyticity (see [13]), which can be easily accommodated in our analysis.

(B) The function  $\chi$  is dilatation analytic, i.e.,  $\theta \mapsto \chi(e^{-\theta} k)$  has an analytic continuation from  $\mathbb{R}$  to the disc  $D(0, \theta_0)$ .

For instance, we can choose  $\chi(k) := e^{-k^2/\Lambda^2}$ , for some fixed, arbitrarily large ultraviolet cut-off  $\Lambda > 0$ .

Let  $H_g$  denote either  $H_g^{SM}$  or  $H_g^N$ . To define quantum resonances for the Hamiltonian  $H_g$ , we use the dilatations of electron positions and of photon momenta:

$$x_j \rightarrow e^\theta x_j \quad \text{and} \quad k \rightarrow e^{-\theta} k,$$

where  $\theta$  is a real parameter. Such dilatations are represented by the one-parameter group of unitary operators,  $\mathcal{U}_\theta$ , on the total Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H} := \mathcal{H}_p \otimes \mathcal{F}_s$  of the system. This is one of the most important examples of the deformation groups mentioned above (see, however, Remark 6.2 below). Following the general prescription, we define, for  $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$ , the family of unitarily equivalent Hamiltonians

$$H_{g,\theta} := \mathcal{U}_\theta H_g \mathcal{U}_\theta^{-1}. \quad (1.10)$$

By the above assumptions on  $V$  and  $\chi$ , the family  $H_{g,\theta}$  can be analytically extended, as a type-A family in the sense of Kato, to all  $\theta$  belonging to the disc  $D(0, \theta_0)$  in the complex plane, where  $\theta_0$  is as in assumptions (A) and (B). The deformation resonances are now defined as complex eigenvalues of  $H_{g,\theta}$ ,  $\text{Im } \theta > 0$ .

Let  $\lambda_0 := \inf(\sigma(H_{g=0}))$ . We consider the eigenvalues  $\lambda_j$  of  $H_p$ , or of  $H_0 := H_p \otimes I + I \otimes H_f$ , with  $\lambda_0 < \lambda_j < \Sigma$ . By the renormalization group analysis in [4–6,16], we know that, as the interaction between the non-relativistic particles and the field is turned on, these eigenvalues turn into resonances  $\lambda_{j,g}$ , with  $\text{Im } \lambda_{j,g} < 0$  and these resonances are  $\theta$ -independent<sup>4</sup> (see also [7] for a somewhat different model). Our goal is to investigate the properties of these resonances, as described above.

To simplify our presentation, we assume that  $\lambda_j$  is non-degenerate, and we denote by  $\Psi_j = \psi_j \otimes \Omega$  the normalized, unperturbed eigenstate associated with  $\lambda_j$ . We also assume that

(C) *Fermi's Golden Rule* [4–6] holds.

This condition implies that  $\text{Im } \lambda_{j,g} \leq -c_0 g^2$ , for some positive constant  $c_0$ ; see for example [4–6]. The main results of this paper are summarized in the following theorems.

**Theorem 1.1.** *Let  $H_g$  be either  $H_g^{SM}$  or  $H_g^N$ . Given  $\Psi_j$ , and  $\lambda_{j,g}$  as above, and under assumptions (A)–(C) formulated above, there exists some  $g_0 > 0$  such that, for all  $0 < g < g_0$  and times  $t \geq 0$ ,*

$$(\Psi_j, e^{-itH_g} \Psi_j) = e^{-it\lambda_{j,g}} + O(g^\alpha), \quad (1.11)$$

where  $\alpha := \frac{2+4\mu}{5+2\mu}$ , with  $\mu > 0$  appearing in (1.9) for the Nelson model, and  $\alpha = \frac{2}{3}$  for QED.

**Remark 1.2.** We expect that our approach extends to situations where Fermi's Golden Rule condition fails, as long as  $\text{Im } \lambda_{j,g} < 0$ , and that we can improve the exponent of  $g$  in the error term by using an initial state that is a better approximation of the “resonance state”; see Section 3.

**Remark 1.3.** The analysis below, together with Theorem 3.3 in [1], gives an adiabatic theorem for quantum resonances in non-relativistic QED.

Theorem 1.1 estimates the survival probability amplitude,  $(\Psi_j, e^{-itH_g} \Psi_j)$ , of the state  $\Psi_j$ . Let  $\gamma_{j,g} := -\text{Im } \lambda_{j,g}$  and  $T_{j,g} := 1/\gamma_{j,g}$ . Theorem 1.1 implies that

$$\|e^{-itH_g} \Psi_j - e^{-it\lambda_{j,g}} \Psi_j\| = [1 - e^{-2t\gamma_{j,g}} + O(g^\alpha)]^{1/2}, \quad (1.12)$$

which is  $\ll 1$ , for  $t \ll T_{j,g}$ . This property is what we call the “*metastability*” of the resonance associated with the resonance eigenvalue  $\lambda_{j,g}$ .

There is a dense linear subspace  $\mathcal{D} \subset \mathcal{H}$  of vectors with the property that, for every  $\psi \in \mathcal{D}$ , the family  $\{\mathcal{U}_\theta \psi\}_{\theta \in \mathbb{R}}$  of vectors has an analytic extension in  $\theta$  to the entire complex plane, with  $\mathcal{U}_\theta \psi \in \mathcal{D}$ , for any  $\theta \in \mathbb{C}$ . Vectors in  $\mathcal{D}$  are called *dilatation-entire vectors*.

Next, for  $z_* \in \mathbb{C}$  and  $0 \leq \varphi_1 < \varphi_2 < 2\pi$ , we define domains

$$W_{z_*}^{\varphi_1, \varphi_2} := \left\{ z \in \mathbb{C} \mid |z - z_*| < \frac{1}{2} |\text{Im } z_*|, \varphi_1 \leq \arg(z - z_*) \leq \varphi_2 \right\}.$$

Our second main result is the following theorem.

<sup>4</sup> [4–6] prove this for confined particle systems, i.e. systems with  $V(x) \geq c|x|^2$ ,  $c > 0$ , for  $|x|$  sufficiently large, while [16] does this in the general case under consideration.

**Theorem 1.4.** Let  $H_g$  be either  $H_g^{SM}$  or  $H_g^N$ . Let conditions (A), (B) and (C) be satisfied, and let  $\lambda_0 < \lambda_j < \Sigma$  be an eigenvalue of  $H_p$ . Then there are a constant  $g_* > 0$  and a dense set  $\mathcal{D}' \subset \mathcal{D}$  s.t., for  $g < g_*$  and for all  $\psi \in \mathcal{D}'$ , the function

$$F_\psi(z) := (\psi, (H_g - z)^{-1}\psi)$$

has an analytic continuation in  $z$  from the upper half-plane, across a neighborhood of  $\lambda_j$ , into the domain  $W_{\lambda_{j,g}}^{\varphi_1, \varphi_2}$ , for some  $\varphi_1 < \pi/2$  and  $\varphi_2 > \pi$ , and this continuation satisfies the relations

$$(i) \quad F_\psi(z) = \frac{p(\psi)}{\lambda_{j,g} - z} + r(z; \psi),$$

with

$$(ii) \quad |r(z; \psi)| \leq C(\psi) |\lambda_{j,g} - z|^{-\beta},$$

for some  $\beta < 1$ . Here  $p(\psi)$  and  $r(z; \psi)$  are quadratic forms on the domain  $\mathcal{D}' \times \mathcal{D}'$ .

**Remark 1.5.** Since we can rotate the essential spectrum of  $H_{g,\theta}$ ,  $\theta \in D(0, \theta_0)$ , in  $\mathbb{C}^-$  using dilatation analyticity, if  $\theta_0 < \frac{\pi}{2}$  is large enough, we expect that  $F_\psi(z)$  can be analytically continued in  $z$  from the upper half-plane into a neighborhood of  $\lambda_{j,g}$  that is larger than  $W_{\lambda_{j,g}}^{\varphi_1, \varphi_2}$  given in Theorem 1.4. In this case the quadratic form  $r(w; \psi)$  would also depend on the homotopy class of the path along which  $F_\psi(z)$  is analytically continued from the upper half-plane to the point  $w$  in the vicinity of  $\lambda_{j,g}$ .

For an operator  $A$  on the one-particle space  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)$ , we denote by  $d\Gamma(A)$  its “lifting” to the Fock space  $\mathcal{F}_s$  (second quantization). The set  $\mathcal{D}'$  in Theorem 1.4 can be chosen explicitly as

$$\mathcal{D}' := \{\psi \in \mathcal{D} \mid \|d\Gamma(\omega^{-1/2})(1 - P_\Omega)\psi\| < \infty\},$$

where  $P_\Omega$  is the projection onto the vacuum  $\Omega$  in  $\mathcal{F}_s$ , for the Nelson model. In this case  $\beta = (1 + \frac{2}{3}\mu)^{-1}$ . For QED, we define

$$\mathcal{D}' := \{\psi \in \mathcal{D} \mid \|e^{\delta(x)} d\Gamma(\omega^{-1/2})(1 - P_\Omega)\psi\| < \infty \text{ for some } \delta > 0\}.$$

Since  $\mathcal{U}_\theta d\Gamma(\omega^{-1/2}) = e^{\theta/2} d\Gamma(\omega^{-1/2}) \mathcal{U}_\theta$ , the set  $\mathcal{D}'$  is dense in  $\mathcal{D}$ .

The main difficulty in the proofs of our main results comes from the fact that the unperturbed eigenvalue  $\lambda_j$  is the threshold of a branch of continuous spectrum. To overcome this difficulty, we introduce an infrared cut-off that opens a gap in the spectrum of  $H_{g,\theta}$ , and we control the error introduced by opening such a gap using the fact that the interaction between the electrons and the photons or phonons vanishes sufficiently fast at low photon/phonon energies (see [4,5,3] and Eq. (2.7) below).

The only previous results estimating the resonance decay for quantum systems interacting with massless quantum fields are those by Ch. King [14] for a spin interacting with one-dimensional phonon field under severe restrictions on the interaction and [6] where a one-sided bound in a less difficult direction is obtained. As we were completing this paper, there appeared an e-print [11] where bounds on the resonance time evolution in terms of the dynamics of the so-called level shift operator introduced in [4–6] are established by somewhat different techniques. The analysis of [14,6,11] relies on second-order perturbation theory and, in particular, is inapplicable if the Fermi Golden Rule fails. While the Fermi Golden Rule is also assumed in our paper, it is done so to simplify technical part of our analysis which has a more general scope.

Our paper is organized as follows. In Sections 2–4 we prove Theorem 1.1 for the Nelson Hamiltonian,  $H_g^N$ . In Section 5 we extend this proof to the QED Hamiltonian,  $H_g^{SM}$ . Theorem 1.4 is proven in Section 6.

## 2. Dilatation analyticity and IR cut-off Hamiltonians

Let  $H_g = H_g^N$  be the Hamiltonian defined in (1.6). We begin this section with a discussion of the dilatation deformation  $H_{g,\theta}$  of  $H_g$  defined in the introduction, Eq. (1.10). As was already mentioned above, by the above assumptions on  $V$  and  $\chi$ , the family  $H_{g,\theta}$  can be analytically extended to all  $\theta$  belonging to a disc  $D(0, \theta_0)$  in the complex plane. The relation  $H_{g,\theta}^* = H_{g,\bar{\theta}}$  holds for real  $\theta$  and extends by analyticity to  $\theta \in D(0, \theta_0)$ . A direct computation gives

$$H_{g,\theta} = H_{p,\theta} \otimes I + e^{-\theta} I \otimes H_f + W_{g,\theta},$$

where  $H_{p,\theta} = \mathcal{U}_\theta H_p \mathcal{U}_\theta^{-1}$  and  $W_{g,\theta} := \mathcal{U}_\theta W_g \mathcal{U}_\theta^{-1}$ . Note that  $W_{g,\theta} = g\phi(G_{x,\theta})$ , with

$$G_{x,\theta}(k) = e^{-(1+\mu)\theta} \frac{\chi(e^{-\theta}k)}{|k|^{1/2-\mu}} e^{-ik \cdot x}. \quad (2.1)$$

We now introduce an infra-red cut-off Hamiltonian

$$H_{g,\theta}^\sigma := H_{p,\theta} \otimes I + e^{-\theta} I \otimes H_f + W_{g,\theta}^{\geq \sigma}, \quad (2.2)$$

where  $W_{g,\theta}^{\geq \sigma} := g\phi(G_{x,\theta}^{\geq \sigma})$ , and  $G_{x,\theta}^{\geq \sigma} := \kappa_\sigma G_{x,\theta}$ . Here  $\kappa_\sigma$  is an infrared cut-off function that we can choose, for instance, as  $\kappa_\sigma = \mathbf{1}_{|k| \geq \sigma}$ . We also define

$$W_{g,\theta}^{\leq \sigma} := W_{g,\theta} - W_{g,\theta}^{\geq \sigma} = g\phi(G_{x,\theta}^{\leq \sigma}), \quad (2.3)$$

where  $G_{x,\theta}^{\leq \sigma} := (1 - \kappa_\sigma)G_{x,\theta}$ . We then have that

$$H_{g,\theta} = H_{g,\theta}^\sigma + W_{g,\theta}^{\leq \sigma}. \quad (2.4)$$

We denote by  $\mathcal{F}_s^{\geq \sigma}$  and  $\mathcal{F}_s^{\leq \sigma}$  the symmetric Fock spaces over  $L^2(\{k \in \mathbb{R}^3: |k| \geq \sigma\})$  and  $L^2(\{k \in \mathbb{R}^3: |k| \leq \sigma\})$ , respectively. It is well known that there exists a unitary operator  $\mathcal{V}$  that maps  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^{3N}; \mathcal{F}_s)$  to  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^{3N}; \mathcal{F}_s^{\geq \sigma}) \otimes \mathcal{F}_s^{\leq \sigma}$ , so that

$$\mathcal{V} H_{g,\theta}^\sigma \mathcal{V}^{-1} = H_{g,\theta}^{\geq \sigma} \otimes I + e^{-\theta} I \otimes H_f^{\leq \sigma}. \quad (2.5)$$

Here,  $H_{g,\theta}^{\geq \sigma}$  acts on  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^{3N}; \mathcal{F}_s^{\geq \sigma})$  and is defined by

$$H_{g,\theta}^{\geq \sigma} := H_{p,\theta} + e^{-\theta} H_f^{\geq \sigma} + W_{g,\theta}^{\geq \sigma}. \quad (2.6)$$

The operators  $H_f^{\geq \sigma}$  and  $H_f^{\leq \sigma}$  denote the restrictions of  $H_f$  to  $\mathcal{F}_s^{\geq \sigma}$  and  $\mathcal{F}_s^{\leq \sigma}$ , respectively. The unitary operator  $\mathcal{V}$  will be sometimes dropped in the sequel if no confusion may arise. We note the following estimate that will often be used in this paper:

$$\|W_{g,\theta}^{\leq \sigma} [H_f + 1]^{-1}\| \leq C g \sigma^{1/2+\mu}, \quad (2.7)$$

where  $\mu > 0$ ,  $C$  is a positive constant, and  $\theta \in D(0, \theta_0)$ .

We now consider an unperturbed isolated eigenvalue  $\lambda_j$  of  $H_0$ . To simplify our analysis, we assume that  $\lambda_j$  is non-degenerate. Let

$$d_j := \text{dist}(\lambda_j; \sigma(H_p) \setminus \{\lambda_j\}), \quad (2.8)$$

which is positive. It is shown in [4,5,2] that, as the perturbation  $W_g$  is turned on, the eigenvalue  $\lambda_j$  turns into a resonance  $\lambda_{j,g}$  of  $H_g$ . In other words, for  $\theta \in D(0, \theta_0)$  with  $\text{Im}(\theta) > 0$ , there exists a non-degenerate eigenvalue  $\lambda_{j,g}$  of  $H_{g,\theta}$  not depending on  $\theta$ , with  $\text{Re} \lambda_{j,g} = \lambda_j + O(g^2)$ ,  $\text{Im} \lambda_{j,g} = O(g^2)$ , and, if Fermi's Golden Rule condition holds,  $\text{Im} \lambda_{j,g} \leq -c_0 g^2$ , for some positive constant  $c_0$ . Similarly, the operator  $H_{g,\theta}^{\geq \sigma}$  has an eigenvalue  $\lambda_{j,g}^{\geq \sigma}$  bifurcating from the eigenvalue  $\lambda_j$  of  $H_0$  having the same properties as  $\lambda_{j,g}$ , with the important exception that  $\lambda_{j,g}^{\geq \sigma}$  depends on  $\theta$ . The reason for this is that  $H_{g,\theta+r}^{\geq \sigma} \neq \mathcal{U}_r H_{g,\theta}^{\geq \sigma} \mathcal{U}_{-r}$ ,  $r \in \mathbb{R}$ . Furthermore, we have the crucial property (see Proposition 4.1) that the eigenvalue  $\lambda_{j,g}^{\geq \sigma}$  of  $H_{g,\theta}^{\geq \sigma}$  is isolated from the rest of the spectrum of  $H_{g,\theta}^{\geq \sigma}$ . More precisely,

$$\text{dist}(\lambda_{j,g}^{\geq \sigma}, \sigma(H_{g,\theta}^{\geq \sigma}) \setminus \{\lambda_{j,g}^{\geq \sigma}\}) \geq C\sigma, \quad (2.9)$$

for some positive constant  $C$  independent of  $\sigma$ .

It is tempting to treat  $H_{g,\theta}$  as a perturbation of  $H_{g,\theta}^{\geq \sigma}$ . However, we have to take care of the difference between  $\lambda_{j,g}$  and  $\lambda_{j,g}^{\geq \sigma}$ . In order to deal with this problem, we “renormalize” the unperturbed part  $H_{g,\theta}^{\geq \sigma}$  by setting

$$\tilde{H}_{g,\theta}^{\sigma} = H_{g,\theta}^{\geq \sigma} + (\lambda_{j,g} - \lambda_{j,g}^{\geq \sigma}) \mathcal{V}^{-1} (P_{g,\theta}^{\geq \sigma} \otimes I) \mathcal{V}. \quad (2.10)$$

Here  $P_{g,\theta}^{\geq \sigma}$  denotes the spectral projection onto the eigenspace associated with the eigenvalue  $\lambda_{j,g}^{\geq \sigma}$  of  $H_{g,\theta}^{\geq \sigma}$ . As in (2.5), we have the representation

$$\mathcal{V} \tilde{H}_{g,\theta}^{\sigma} \mathcal{V}^{-1} = \tilde{H}_{g,\theta}^{\geq \sigma} \otimes I + e^{-\theta} I \otimes H_f^{\leq \sigma}, \quad (2.11)$$

where we have set

$$\tilde{H}_{g,\theta}^{\geq \sigma} = H_{g,\theta}^{\geq \sigma} + (\lambda_{j,g} - \lambda_{j,g}^{\geq \sigma}) P_{g,\theta}^{\geq \sigma}. \quad (2.12)$$

By (2.12), we see that  $\lambda_{j,g}$  is a non-degenerate eigenvalue of  $\tilde{H}_{g,\theta}^{\geq \sigma}$ . In Proposition 6.3 we will show that there exists a positive constant  $C$  such that

$$|\lambda_{j,g} - \lambda_{j,g}^{\geq \sigma}| \leq C g^2 \sigma^{1+\mu}, \quad (2.13)$$

and that the operator  $\tilde{H}_{g,\theta}^{\geq \sigma}$  still has a gap of order  $O(\sigma)$  around  $\lambda_{j,g}$ . Then the decomposition (2.2) is replaced by

$$H_{g,\theta} = \tilde{H}_{g,\theta}^{\sigma} + \tilde{W}_{g,\theta}^{\leq \sigma}, \quad (2.14)$$

where

$$\tilde{W}_{g,\theta}^{\leq \sigma} = W_{g,\theta}^{\leq \sigma} - (\lambda_{j,g} - \lambda_{j,g}^{\geq \sigma}) \mathcal{V}^{-1} P_{g,\theta}^{\geq \sigma} \otimes I \mathcal{V}. \quad (2.15)$$

Let  $H_{\star}^{\#}$  denote one of the operators  $H_g$ ,  $H_{g,\theta}$ ,  $H_{g,\theta}^{\sigma}$  or  $H_{g,\theta}^{\geq \sigma}$ . We write its resolvent by using the notation  $R_{\star}^{\#}(z) = [H_{\star}^{\#} - z]^{-1}$ . Similarly, we define  $\tilde{R}_{\star}^{\#}(z) = [\tilde{H}_{\star}^{\#} - z]^{-1}$ .



### 3. Proof of Theorem 1.1

We begin with some notation. We consider an interval  $I$  of size  $\delta$ , containing  $\lambda_j$ , such that  $\delta < \frac{1}{2}d_j$ . For concreteness, let

$$I = \left( \lambda_j - \frac{\delta}{2}, \lambda_j + \frac{\delta}{2} \right). \quad (3.1)$$

Define, in addition,

$$I_1 = \left( \lambda_j - \frac{\delta}{4}, \lambda_j + \frac{\delta}{4} \right). \quad (3.2)$$

We consider a smooth function  $f \in C_0^\infty(I)$ ,  $\text{Ran}(f) \in [0, 1]$ , such that  $f = 1$  on  $I_1$ . It is known (see e.g. [13]) that there exists an almost analytic extension  $\tilde{f}$  of  $f$  such that

$$\tilde{f} = 1 \quad \text{on } \{z \in \mathbb{C} \mid \text{Re}(z) \in I_1, |\text{Im}(z)| \leq a\}, \quad \text{supp}(\tilde{f}) \subset \{z \in \mathbb{C} \mid \text{Re}(z) \in I\}, \quad (3.3)$$

for some given  $a \gg |\text{Im}(\lambda_{j,g})|$ , and  $|\partial_{\bar{z}} \tilde{f}(z)| = O(\delta^{-1} |\text{Im}(z)/\delta|^n)$ , for any  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . We shall use these properties of  $\tilde{f}$  in the sequel.

We begin with the following proposition.

**Proposition 3.1.** *Given  $H_g$ ,  $\Psi_j$ ,  $\lambda_{j,g}$  and  $f$  as above, there exists  $g_0 > 0$  such that, for all  $0 < g \leq g_0$ ,  $\delta = C\sigma$ ,  $C > 1$ , and  $\sigma = g^{2 - \frac{2+4\mu}{5+2\mu}}$ ,*

$$(\Psi_j, e^{-itH_g} f(H_g) \Psi_j) = e^{-it\lambda_{j,g}} + O(g^{\frac{2+4\mu}{5+2\mu}}), \quad (3.4)$$

for all times  $t \geq 0$ .

We divide the proof of Proposition 3.1 into several steps, deferring the proof of some technical ingredients to the following section. We extend a method due to Hunziker to prove Proposition 3.1, see [12] or [13]. Let  $\mathcal{N}(\theta)$  be a punctured neighborhood of  $\lambda_j$  such that  $\mathcal{N}(\theta) \cap \sigma(\tilde{H}_{g,\theta}^{\geq \sigma}) = \lambda_{j,g}$  and  $I \subset \mathcal{N}(\theta) \cup \{\lambda_j\}$ . Let  $\Gamma \subset \mathcal{N}(\theta)$  be a contour that encloses  $I$  and  $\lambda_{j,g}$ . For  $z$  inside  $\Gamma$ , we have that

$$\tilde{R}_{g,\theta}^{\geq \sigma}(z) = \frac{P_{g,\theta}^{\geq \sigma}}{\lambda_{j,g} - z} + \hat{R}_{g,\theta}^{\geq \sigma}(z), \quad (3.5)$$

where  $P_{g,\theta}^{\geq \sigma}$  denotes the spectral projection onto the eigenspace associated to the eigenvalue  $\lambda_{j,g}$  of  $\tilde{H}_{g,\theta}^{\geq \sigma}$ , that is

$$P_{g,\theta}^{\geq \sigma} = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_{\mathcal{C}} \tilde{R}_{g,\theta}^{\geq \sigma}(z) dz, \quad (3.6)$$

where  $\mathcal{C}$  denotes a circle centered at  $\lambda_{j,g}$  with radius chosen so that  $\mathcal{C} \subset \rho(H_{g,\theta}^{\geq \sigma}) \cap \mathcal{N}(\theta)$ , and the regular part,  $\hat{R}_{g,\theta}^{\geq \sigma}(z)$ , is given by

$$\hat{R}_{g,\theta}^{\geq \sigma}(z) := \tilde{R}_{g,\theta}^{\geq \sigma}(z)(1 - P_{g,\theta}^{\geq \sigma}) = R_{g,\theta}^{\geq \sigma}(z)(1 - P_{g,\theta}^{\geq \sigma}). \quad (3.7)$$

Using that for  $z$  inside  $\Gamma$ ,  $\oint_{\Gamma} P_{g,\theta}^{\geq\sigma} \tilde{R}_{g,\theta}^{\geq\sigma}(w)(w-z)^{-1} dw = \oint_{\Gamma} P_{g,\theta}^{\geq\sigma}(\lambda_{j,g} - w)^{-1}(w-z)^{-1} dw = 0$ , we can write  $\hat{R}_{g,\theta}^{\geq\sigma}(z)$  as

$$\hat{R}_{g,\theta}^{\geq\sigma}(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_{\Gamma} \tilde{R}_{g,\theta}^{\geq\sigma}(w)(w-z)^{-1} dw, \quad (3.8)$$

where  $z$  is inside  $\Gamma$ . Note that

$$\hat{R}_{g,\theta}^{\geq\sigma} P_{g,\theta}^{\geq\sigma} = P_{g,\theta}^{\geq\sigma} \hat{R}_{g,\theta}^{\geq\sigma} = 0 \quad (3.9)$$

and

$$(P_{g,\theta}^{\geq\sigma})^2 = P_{g,\theta}^{\geq\sigma}. \quad (3.10)$$

We will need the following easy lemma, which follows from dilatation analyticity and Stone's theorem.

**Lemma 3.2.** Assume that the infrared cut-off parameter  $\sigma$  is chosen such that  $g^2 \ll \sigma < g^{\frac{3}{2+\mu}} \ll 1$ . Then

$$(\Psi_j, e^{-itH_g} f(H_g) \Psi_j) = A(t, \bar{\theta}) - A(t, \theta) + B(t, \bar{\theta}) - B(t, \theta), \quad (3.11)$$

for  $\theta \in D(0, \theta_0)$ ,  $\text{Im } \theta > 0$ , where

$$A(t, \theta) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-itz} f(z) (\Psi_{j,\bar{\theta}}, \tilde{R}_{g,\theta}^{\sigma}(z) \Psi_{j,\theta}) dz, \quad (3.12)$$

$$B(t, \theta) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-itz} f(z) \left( \Psi_{j,\bar{\theta}}, \tilde{R}_{g,\theta}^{\sigma}(z) \sum_{n \geq 1} (-\tilde{W}_{g,\theta}^{\leq\sigma} \tilde{R}_{g,\theta}^{\sigma}(z))^n \Psi_{j,\theta} \right) dz. \quad (3.13)$$

**Proof.** By Stone's theorem,

$$(\Psi_j, e^{-itH_g} f(H_g) \Psi_j) = \lim_{\varepsilon \searrow 0} \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-itz} f(z) (\Psi_j, [R_g(z - i\varepsilon) - R_g(z + i\varepsilon)] \Psi_j) dz. \quad (3.14)$$

Since  $H_g$  and  $\Psi_j$  are dilatation analytic, this implies for  $\theta \in D(0, \theta_0)$

$$(\Psi_j, e^{-itH_g} f(H_g) \Psi_j) = F(t, \bar{\theta}) - F(t, \theta), \quad (3.15)$$

where

$$F(t, \theta) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-itz} f(z) (\Psi_{j,\bar{\theta}}, R_{g,\theta}(z) \Psi_{j,\theta}) dz. \quad (3.16)$$

It follows from Lemma 4.4, below, that we can expand  $R_{g,\theta}(z)$  into a Neumann series, which is convergent under our assumptions on  $g$  and  $\sigma$  if Fermi's Golden Rule holds. We obtain

$$F(t, \theta) = A(t, \theta) + B(t, \theta), \quad (3.17)$$

for  $\theta \in D(0, \theta_0)$ ,  $\text{Im } \theta > 0$ , and hence the claim of the lemma is proven.  $\square$




Fig. 1. Spectrum of  $H_{g,\theta}^{\geq \sigma}$  near  $\lambda_j$ .

In what follows, we fix  $\theta \in D(0, \theta_0)$  with  $\text{Im } \theta > 0$ . We estimate  $A(t, \bar{\theta}) - A(t, \theta)$  and  $B(t, \bar{\theta}) - B(t, \theta)$  in the following two lemmata.

**Lemma 3.3.** For  $g^2 \ll \sigma < \delta \ll 1$  and for all  $t \geq 0$ , we have

$$A(t, \bar{\theta}) - A(t, \theta) = e^{-it\lambda_{j,g}} + O(\delta g^2 \sigma^{-2}) + O(g^2 \sigma^{-1}).$$

**Proof.** It follows from the spectral theorem that

$$\mathcal{V} \tilde{R}_{g,\theta}^{\sigma}(z) \mathcal{V}^{-1} = \int_{\sigma(H_f^{\leq \sigma})} \tilde{R}_{g,\theta}^{\geq \sigma}(z - e^{-\theta} \omega) \otimes dE_{H_f^{\leq \sigma}}(\omega), \quad (3.18)$$

where  $E_{H_f^{\leq \sigma}}$  are the spectral projections of  $H_f^{\leq \sigma}$ ; see for example [15]. Furthermore,  $\mathcal{V} \psi_{j,\theta} = \psi_{j,\theta} \otimes \Omega^{\geq \sigma} \otimes \Omega^{\leq \sigma}$ , where  $\Omega^{\geq \sigma}$  (respectively  $\Omega^{\leq \sigma}$ ) denotes the vacuum in  $\mathcal{F}_s^{\geq \sigma}$  (in  $\mathcal{F}_s^{\leq \sigma}$ ). Inserting this into (3.12) and using (3.18), we get

$$A(t, \theta) = \frac{1}{2i\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-itz} f(z) (\psi_{j,\bar{\theta}} \otimes \Omega^{\geq \sigma}, \tilde{R}_{g,\theta}^{\geq \sigma}(z) \psi_{j,\theta} \otimes \Omega^{\geq \sigma}) dz. \quad (3.19)$$

From Proposition 4.1, we know that the spectrum of  $H_{g,\theta}^{\geq \sigma}$  is of the form pictured in Fig. 1.

In particular, a gap of order  $\sigma$  opens between the non-degenerate eigenvalue  $\lambda_{j,g}^{\geq \sigma}$  and the essential spectrum of  $H_{g,\theta}^{\geq \sigma}$ . By Proposition 6.3, the same holds for  $\tilde{H}_{g,\theta}^{\geq \sigma}$  instead of  $H_{g,\theta}^{\geq \sigma}$ , with  $\lambda_{j,g}$  replacing  $\lambda_{j,g}^{\geq \sigma}$ , since  $|\lambda_{j,g} - \lambda_{j,g}^{\geq \sigma}| \leq Cg^2 \sigma^{1+\mu}$  and we assumed that  $g^2 \ll \sigma \ll 1$ .

Let us begin to estimate  $A(t, \bar{\theta}) - A(t, \theta)$  by considering the contribution of the regular part,  $\hat{R}_{g,\theta}^{\geq \sigma}(z)$ , in  $A(t, \theta)$ . Let  $0 < \gamma_1 < \sigma \sin(\text{Im } \theta)$ . By applying Green's theorem, we find that

$$\begin{aligned} R(t, \theta) &:= \frac{1}{2i\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-itz} f(z) (\psi_{j,\bar{\theta}}, \hat{R}_{g,\theta}^{\geq \sigma}(z) \psi_{j,\theta}) dz \\ &= \frac{1}{2i\pi} \int_{\Gamma(\gamma_1)} e^{-itz} \tilde{f}(z) (\psi_{j,\bar{\theta}}^{\geq \sigma}, \hat{R}_{g,\theta}^{\geq \sigma}(z) \psi_{j,\theta}^{\geq \sigma}) dz \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2i\pi} \iint_{D(\gamma_1)} e^{-itz} (\partial_{\bar{z}} \tilde{f})(z) (\psi_{j,\bar{\theta}}^{\geq \sigma}, \hat{R}_{g,\theta}^{\geq \sigma}(z) \psi_{j,\theta}^{\geq \sigma}) dz d\bar{z}, \end{aligned} \quad (3.20)$$




Fig. 2. Deformation of the path of integration.

where  $\Psi_{j,\theta}^{>\sigma} = \psi_{j,\theta} \otimes \Omega^{>\sigma}$ ,  $D(\gamma) := \{z \in \mathbb{C} \mid \operatorname{Re}(z) \in I_0, -\gamma \leq \operatorname{Im}(z) \leq 0\}$  and  $\Gamma(\gamma) := \partial D(\gamma) \setminus I_0$  with the interval  $I_0$  strictly containing  $I$  and s.t.  $|I_0| = O(\delta)$ , see Fig. 2.

By Proposition 4.1 and (3.8), the regular part  $\widehat{R}_{g,\theta}^{>\sigma}(z)$  in (3.5) is analytic in  $z \in D(\gamma_1)$  and satisfies

$$\|\widehat{R}_{g,\theta}^{>\sigma}(z)\| \leq \frac{C}{\operatorname{dist}(z, \sigma(\widetilde{H}_{g,\theta}^{>\sigma}) \setminus \{\lambda_{j,g}\})}, \quad (3.21)$$

where  $C$  is a positive constant. We also have from (3.9) that

$$P_{0,\theta}^{>\sigma} \widehat{R}_{g,\theta}^{>\sigma}(z) P_{0,\theta}^{>\sigma} = (P_{0,\theta}^{>\sigma} - P_{g,\theta}^{>\sigma}) \widehat{R}_{g,\theta}^{>\sigma}(z) (P_{0,\theta}^{>\sigma} - P_{g,\theta}^{>\sigma}), \quad (3.22)$$

and from (3.10) that

$$P_{0,\theta}^{>\sigma} = P_{0,\theta}^{>\sigma} P_{g,\theta}^{>\sigma} P_{0,\theta}^{>\sigma} - (P_{g,\theta}^{>\sigma} - P_{0,\theta}^{>\sigma})(P_{g,\theta}^{>\sigma} - 1)(P_{g,\theta}^{>\sigma} - P_{0,\theta}^{>\sigma}), \quad (3.23)$$

and from Proposition 4.2, below, that

$$\|P_{g,\theta}^{>\sigma} - P_{0,\theta}^{>\sigma}\| \leq Cg\sigma^{-1/2}, \quad (3.24)$$

for some positive constant  $C$ . Thus, by (3.20)–(3.24), properties of  $\widetilde{f}$  and the fact that  $|I_0| = O(\delta)$ , we get

$$|R(t, \theta)| = O(\delta g^2 \sigma^{-2} e^{-t\gamma_1}) + O(|\gamma_1/\delta|^n), \quad (3.25)$$

where, recall,  $0 < \gamma_1 < \sigma \sin(\operatorname{Im} \theta)$ , and any  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Similarly, for the contribution of the regular part  $\widehat{R}_{g,\bar{\theta}}^{>\sigma}$  in  $A(t, \bar{\theta})$ , we have the following estimate

$$|R(t, \bar{\theta})| = O(\delta g^2 \sigma^{-2} e^{-t\gamma_2}) + O(|\gamma_2/\delta|^n), \quad (3.26)$$

where  $0 < \gamma_2 < \sin(\operatorname{Im} \theta)\sigma$ , and any  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

Next, we estimate the singular part of  $A(t, \bar{\theta}) - A(t, \theta)$ . It is given by

$$S(t, \bar{\theta}) - S(t, \theta) := \frac{C_g^\sigma(\bar{\theta})}{2i\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-itz} f(z) (z - \overline{\lambda_{j,g}})^{-1} dz - \frac{C_g^\sigma(\theta)}{2i\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-itz} f(z) (z - \lambda_{j,g})^{-1} dz, \quad (3.27)$$

where we use the notation

$$C_g^\sigma(\theta) = (\Psi_j^{>\sigma}(\bar{\theta}), P_{g,\theta}^{>\sigma} \Psi_{j,\theta}^{>\sigma}). \quad (3.28)$$

By Proposition 4.2, we know that

$$C_g^\sigma(\theta) = 1 + O(g^2\sigma^{-1}). \quad (3.29)$$

We deform the path of integration as we did above, adding a circle  $C_\rho$  of radius  $\rho$  around  $\lambda_{j,g}$ . This yields

$$\begin{aligned} S(t, \bar{\theta}) - S(t, \theta) &= \frac{1}{2i\pi} \int_{\Gamma(\gamma_3)} e^{-itz} \tilde{f}(z) \left[ \frac{C_g^\sigma(\bar{\theta})}{z - \bar{\lambda}_{j,g}} - \frac{C_g^\sigma(\theta)}{z - \lambda_{j,g}} \right] dz \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2i\pi} \int_{C_\rho} e^{-itz} \tilde{f}(z) \left[ \frac{C_g^\sigma(\bar{\theta})}{z - \bar{\lambda}_{j,g}} - \frac{C_g^\sigma(\theta)}{z - \lambda_{j,g}} \right] dz \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2i\pi} \iint_{D(\gamma_3) \setminus D_\rho} e^{-itz} (\partial_{\bar{z}} \tilde{f})(z) \left[ \frac{C_g^\sigma(\bar{\theta})}{z - \bar{\lambda}_{j,g}} - \frac{C_g^\sigma(\theta)}{z - \lambda_{j,g}} \right] dz d\bar{z}, \end{aligned} \quad (3.30)$$

for all  $\rho > 0$  sufficiently small, where  $D_\rho$  denotes the disc of radius  $\rho$  centered at  $\lambda_{j,g}$ , and  $0 < \gamma_3 < \sin(\operatorname{Im} \theta)\sigma$ . The first integral can be estimated by using arguments similar to those used to estimate the regular part, (3.29), and the fact that

$$\operatorname{Im} \lambda_{j,g} = O(g^2).$$

We then obtain that for  $0 < g^2 < \sigma \ll 1$

$$\left| \frac{1}{2i\pi} \int_{\Gamma(\gamma_3)} e^{-itz} \tilde{f}(z) \left[ \frac{C_g^\sigma(\bar{\theta})}{z - \bar{\lambda}_{j,g}} - \frac{C_g^\sigma(\theta)}{z - \lambda_{j,g}} \right] dz \right| = O(\delta g^2 \sigma^{-2} e^{-t\gamma_3}). \quad (3.31)$$

Similarly, since  $(\partial_{\bar{z}} \tilde{f}) = 0$  on  $\{z \mid \operatorname{Re}(z) \in I_1, |\operatorname{Im}(z)| \leq a\}$ , we see that the third integral in the r.h.s. of (3.30) is independent of  $\rho$ , for  $\rho$  sufficiently small, and that for any  $n \in \mathbb{N}$

$$\left| \frac{1}{2i\pi} \iint_{D(\gamma_3) \setminus D_\rho} e^{-itz} (\partial_{\bar{z}} \tilde{f})(z) \left[ \frac{C_g^\sigma(\bar{\theta})}{z - \bar{\lambda}_{j,g}} - \frac{C_g^\sigma(\theta)}{z - \lambda_{j,g}} \right] dz \right| = O(|\gamma_3/\delta|^n). \quad (3.32)$$

It remains to estimate the second integral on the right-hand side of (3.30). Taking the limit as  $\rho \rightarrow 0$  leads to the “residue”  $C_g^\sigma(\theta) e^{-it\lambda_{j,g}} \tilde{f}(\lambda_{j,g})$ . Since, by construction,  $\tilde{f} = 1$  on  $\{z \mid \operatorname{Re}(z) \in I_1, |\operatorname{Im}(z)| \leq a\}$ , we get

$$\lim_{\rho \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{2i\pi} \int_{C_\rho} e^{-itz} \tilde{f}(z) \left[ \frac{C_g^\sigma(\bar{\theta})}{z - \bar{\lambda}_{j,g}} - \frac{C_g^\sigma(\theta)}{z - \lambda_{j,g}} \right] dz = C_g^\sigma(\theta) e^{-it\lambda_{j,g}}. \quad (3.33)$$

The statement of the lemma follows from (3.25)–(3.33).  $\square$

**Lemma 3.4.** Assume that the infrared cut-off parameter  $\sigma$  is chosen such that  $g^2 \ll \sigma \ll 1$ . Then, for all times  $t \geq 0$ , we have that

$$|B(t, \bar{\theta}) - B(t, \theta)| = O(\delta g^{-2} \sigma^{\frac{1}{2} + \mu}), \quad (3.34)$$

where  $B(t, \theta)$  is defined in (3.13).

**Proof.** Recall that

$$B(t, \theta) = \sum_{n \geq 1} B^n(t, \theta), \quad (3.35)$$

where

$$B^n(t, \theta) = \frac{1}{2i\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-itz} f(z) (\Psi_j(\bar{\theta}), \tilde{R}_{g,\theta}^\sigma(z) (-\tilde{W}_{g,\theta}^{\leq \sigma} \tilde{R}_{g,\theta}^\sigma(z))^n \Psi_j(\theta)) dz. \quad (3.36)$$

It follows from (3.36) and Lemma 4.4 that<sup>5</sup>

$$|B^n(t, \theta)| = O(\delta g^{-2} \sigma^{n(\frac{1}{2} + \mu)}), \quad (3.37)$$

uniformly in  $t \geq 0$ . Together with (3.35) and the assumption on  $\sigma$  and  $g$ , it follows that

$$|B(t, \theta)| = O(\delta g^{-2} \sigma^{\frac{1}{2} + \mu}), \quad (3.38)$$

uniformly in  $t$ . One can similarly show that

$$|B(t, \bar{\theta})| = O(\delta g^{-2} \sigma^{\frac{1}{2} + \mu}), \quad (3.39)$$

and hence the claim of the lemma follows.  $\square$

**Proof of Proposition 3.1.** It follows from Lemmata 3.2, 3.3 and 3.4 that for  $t \geq 0$

$$(\Psi_j, e^{-itH_g} f(H_g) \Psi_j) = e^{-it\lambda_{j,g}} + O(\delta g^2 \sigma^{-2}) + O(\delta g^{-2} \sigma^{1/2 + \mu}) + O(g^2 \sigma^{-1}). \quad (3.40)$$

Let  $\delta = C\sigma$ , for some  $C > 1$ . We optimize the estimate on the error term by choosing

$$\sigma = g^{2 - \frac{2+4\mu}{5+2\mu}}, \quad (3.41)$$

and hence the claim of the proposition is proven.  $\square$

**Proof of Theorem 1.1.** Proposition 3.1 implies that, for  $t = 0$ ,

$$(\Psi_j, (1 - f(H_g)) \Psi_j) = \|\sqrt{1 - f(H_g)} \Psi_j\|^2 = O(g^{\frac{2+4\mu}{5+2\mu}}), \quad (3.42)$$

which, together with the boundedness of the unitary operator  $e^{-itH_g}$  and Proposition 3.1, for arbitrary  $t > 0$ , yields

<sup>5</sup> Estimate (3.37) can be improved if one uses instead of  $\Psi_j$  a state that is a better approximation to the resonance eigenstate.

$$\begin{aligned}
(\Psi_j, e^{-itH_g} \Psi_j) &= (\Psi_j, e^{-itH_g} (1 - f(H_g) + f(H_g)) \Psi_j) \\
&= (\Psi_j, e^{-itH_g} f(H_g) \Psi_j) + O(\|\sqrt{1 - f(H_g)} \Psi_j\|^2) \\
&= e^{-it\lambda_{j,g}} + O(g^{\frac{2+4\mu}{5+2\mu}}). \quad \square
\end{aligned}$$

#### 4. The Hamiltonian $H_{g,\theta}^\sigma$

In this section, we study the operator  $H_{g,\theta}^\sigma$  used in the previous section as an approximation of  $H_{g,\theta}$ . We use the Feshbach–Schur map<sup>6</sup> [4,5], defined for a projection  $P$  and a closed operator  $H$  whose domain is contained in  $\text{Ran}(P)$ , by

$$\mathcal{F}_P(H) = PHP - PH\bar{P}[\bar{P}H\bar{P}]^{-1}\bar{P}HP, \quad (4.1)$$

where  $\bar{P} = 1 - P$ . Note that the domain of  $\mathcal{F}_P$  consists of operators  $H$  such that

$$[\bar{P}H\bar{P}]^{-1}|_{\text{Ran}(\bar{P})}, \quad PH\bar{P}[\bar{P}H\bar{P}]^{-1}|_{\text{Ran}(\bar{P})}, \quad [\bar{P}H\bar{P}]^{-1}\bar{P}HP \quad (4.2)$$

extend to bounded operators. We begin with the following proposition.

**Proposition 4.1.** Suppose  $0 < g^2 \ll \sigma \ll 1$ . Then, for  $\theta \in D(0, \theta_0)$  such that  $\text{Im} \theta \neq 0$  and  $\sigma < d_j \sin|\text{Im} \theta|$ , the spectrum of  $H_{g,\theta}^{\geq \sigma}$  in the disc  $D(\lambda_j, \sigma/2)$  consists of a single eigenvalue

$$\sigma(H_{g,\theta}^{\geq \sigma}) \cap D(\lambda_j, \sigma/2) = \{\lambda_{j,g}^{\geq \sigma}\}. \quad (4.3)$$

Furthermore, there exists  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that, for all  $z$  in  $D(\lambda_j, \sigma/3)$  such that  $|z - \lambda_{j,g}^{\geq \sigma}| \gg g^{2+\varepsilon}$ ,

$$\|R_{g,\theta}^{\geq \sigma}(z)\| \leq \frac{C}{\text{dist}(z, \sigma(H_{g,\theta}^{\geq \sigma}))}, \quad (4.4)$$

for some positive constant  $C$ .

**Proof.** Let  $P_\theta := P_{0,\theta}^{\geq \sigma} = P_{p,j,\theta} \otimes P_\Omega^{\geq \sigma}$  and  $\bar{P}_\theta := 1 - P_\theta$ . For  $\sigma < d_j \sin|\text{Im} \theta|$  and  $z \in D(\lambda_j, \sigma/2)$ , one can show that, for any  $n \geq 1$ ,

$$\|[\bar{P}_\theta H_{0,\theta}^{\geq \sigma} - z]^{-1} (-W_{g,\theta}^{\geq \sigma} [\bar{P}_\theta H_{0,\theta}^{\geq \sigma} - z]^{-1})^n\| \leq C_1 \sigma^{-1} (C_2 g \sigma^{-1/2})^n, \quad (4.5)$$

where  $C_1, C_2$  are positive constant. Hence for  $g \sigma^{-1/2} \ll 1$  and any  $z$  in  $D(\lambda_j, \sigma/2)$ , the operator  $\bar{P}_\theta H_{g,\theta}^{\geq \sigma} \bar{P}_\theta - z$  is invertible and its inverse is given by the convergent Neumann series as

$$[\bar{P}_\theta H_{g,\theta}^{\geq \sigma} \bar{P}_\theta - z]^{-1} = [\bar{P}_\theta H_{0,\theta}^{\geq \sigma} - z]^{-1} \sum_{n \geq 0} (-W_{g,\theta}^{\geq \sigma} [\bar{P}_\theta H_{0,\theta}^{\geq \sigma} - z]^{-1})^n. \quad (4.6)$$

<sup>6</sup> In [4,5,2] this map is called the Feshbach map. As was pointed out to us by F. Klopp and B. Simon, the invertibility procedure at the heart of this map was introduced by I. Schur in 1917; a similar approach was developed in an independent work of H. Feshbach on the theory of nuclear reactions in 1958, see [10] for further extensions and historical remarks.

This implies that the operator  $H_{g,\theta}^{\geq \sigma} - z$  is in the domain of  $\mathcal{F}_{P_\theta}$ . Moreover, (4.5) and (4.6) lead to the estimates

$$\|(H_f + 1)^n [\bar{P}_\theta H_{g,\theta}^{\geq \sigma} \bar{P}_\theta - z]^{-1}\| \leq C\sigma^{-1}, \quad n = 0, 1, \quad (4.7)$$

for some positive constant  $C$ .

Note that our choice of  $P_\theta$  yields  $P_\theta W_{g,\theta}^{\geq \sigma} P_\theta = 0$ . Therefore

$$\mathcal{F}_{P_\theta}(H_{g,\theta}^{\geq \sigma} - z) = (\lambda_j - z)P_\theta - P_\theta W_{g,\theta}^{\geq \sigma} \bar{P}_\theta [\bar{P}_\theta H_{g,\theta}^{\geq \sigma} \bar{P}_\theta - z]^{-1} \bar{P}_\theta W_{g,\theta}^{\geq \sigma} P_\theta. \quad (4.8)$$

The non-degeneracy of  $\lambda_j$  implies that  $\mathcal{F}_{P_\theta}(H_{g,\theta}^{\geq \sigma} - z)$  can be written as  $[\lambda_j - z + a(z)]P_\theta$ , where  $a(z)$  is a function from  $D(\lambda_j, \sigma/2) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ . Following [4,6] (see also Proposition 4.3 below), we have

$$a(z) = g^2 Z_{j,\theta} + O(g^{2+\varepsilon}), \quad (4.9)$$

for some  $\varepsilon > 0$ , where  $Z_{j,\theta} := Z_{j,\theta}^{\text{od}} + Z_{j,\theta}^{\text{d}}$  with

$$Z_{j,\theta}^{\text{od}} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \mathcal{U}_\theta P_{p,j} \bar{G}_x(k) \bar{P}_{p,j} [H_p - \lambda_j + \omega(k) - i0]^{-1} \bar{P}_{p,j} G_x(k) P_{p,j} \mathcal{U}_\theta^{-1} dk, \quad (4.10)$$

$$Z_{j,\theta}^{\text{d}} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \mathcal{U}_\theta P_{p,j} \bar{G}_x(k) P_{p,j} G_x(k) P_{p,j} \mathcal{U}_\theta^{-1} \frac{dk}{\omega(k)}. \quad (4.11)$$

Using the Leibniz rule and the fact that

$$\frac{d}{dz} [\bar{P}_\theta H_{0,\theta}^{\geq \sigma} - z]^{-1} = [\bar{P}_\theta H_{0,\theta}^{\geq \sigma} - z]^{-2}, \quad (4.12)$$

one can prove, by differentiating (4.8) with respect to  $z$ , that  $z \mapsto b(z) := \lambda_j - z + a(z)$  is an analytic function on  $D(\lambda_j, \sigma/2)$ , and that  $|db(z)/dz - 1| < 1$ , provided that  $g^2 \sigma^{-1}$  is sufficiently small. This implies that  $b$  is a bijection on  $D(\lambda_j, \sigma/2)$ .

The isospectrality of the Feshbach map (see [4,5]) tells us that

$$z \in \sigma(H_{g,\theta}^{\geq \sigma}) \Leftrightarrow 0 \in \mathcal{F}_{P_\theta}(H_{g,\theta}^{\geq \sigma} - z) \Leftrightarrow b(z) = 0.$$

On the other hand, it follows from the usual perturbation theory, applied to the isolated non-degenerate eigenvalue  $\lambda_j$  of  $H_{0,\theta}^{\geq \sigma}$ , that the spectrum of  $H_{g,\theta}^{\geq \sigma}$  is not empty in  $D(\lambda_j, \sigma/2)$ , for  $g$  sufficiently small. Hence there exists a unique  $\lambda_{j,g}^{\geq \sigma}$  in  $D(\lambda_j, \sigma/2)$  such that  $b(\lambda_{j,g}^{\geq \sigma}) = 0$ , that is

$$\sigma(H_{g,\theta}^{\geq \sigma}) \cap D\left(\lambda_j, \frac{\sigma}{2}\right) = \{\lambda_{j,g}^{\geq \sigma}\}. \quad (4.13)$$

To prove (4.4), we use the following identity (see [4]):

$$\begin{aligned} [H_{g,\theta}^{\geq \sigma} - z]^{-1} &= [P_\theta - [\bar{P}_\theta (H_{g,\theta}^{\geq \sigma} - z) \bar{P}_\theta]^{-1} \bar{P}_\theta W_{g,\theta}^{\geq \sigma} P_\theta] [\mathcal{F}_{P_\theta}(H_{g,\theta}^{\geq \sigma} - z)]^{-1} \\ &\quad \times [P_\theta - P_\theta W_{g,\theta}^{\geq \sigma} \bar{P}_\theta [\bar{P}_\theta (H_{g,\theta}^{\geq \sigma} - z) \bar{P}_\theta]^{-1}] + [\bar{P}_\theta (H_{g,\theta}^{\geq \sigma} - z) \bar{P}_\theta]^{-1} \bar{P}_\theta, \end{aligned} \quad (4.14)$$



which holds for  $z$  in  $\rho(H_{g,\theta}^{\geq\sigma}) \cap D(\lambda_j, \sigma/2)$ . The simple form of  $\mathcal{F}_{P_\theta}(H_{g,\theta}^{\geq\sigma} - z)$ , (4.7) and the fact that  $|a(z) - a(\lambda_{j,g}^{\geq\sigma})| = O(g^{2+\varepsilon})$  by (4.9) lead to

$$\| [H_{g,\theta}^{\geq\sigma} - z]^{-1} \| \leq C_1 \left( \frac{1 + g^2 \sigma^{-1}}{|z - \lambda_{j,g}^{\geq\sigma}| - C_2 g^{2+\varepsilon}} + \sigma^{-1} \right), \quad (4.15)$$

for some positive constants  $C_1, C_2$ . Hence the proposition is proven for  $z$  in  $D(\lambda_j, \sigma/3)$  such that  $|z - \lambda_{j,g}^{\geq\sigma}| \gg g^{2+\varepsilon}$ .  $\square$

Recall that, for  $g \geq 0$ ,  $P_{g,\theta}^{\geq\sigma}$  denotes the projection onto the eigenspace associated with the eigenvalue  $\lambda_{j,g}^{\geq\sigma}$  of  $H_{g,\theta}^{\geq\sigma}$ .

**Proposition 4.2.** *Let  $g, \sigma$  as in Proposition 4.1 and choose  $\theta \in D(0, \theta_0)$  such that  $\text{Im } \theta \neq 0$ . Then, for  $g$  small enough,*

$$\| P_{g,\theta}^{\geq\sigma} - P_{0,\theta}^{\geq\sigma} \| \leq C_0 g \sigma^{-1/2}, \quad (4.16)$$

where  $C_0$  is a positive constant.

**Proof.** Let  $C_j$  denote a circle centered at  $\lambda_j$ , with radius  $\sigma/3$ , so that  $C_j \subset \rho(H_{g,\theta}^{\geq\sigma})$ . Since we have assumed  $g^2 \ll \sigma$ , for  $g$  sufficiently small,  $C_j$  contains both  $\lambda_{j,g}^{\geq\sigma}$  and  $\lambda_j$ . Thus,

$$P_{g,\theta}^{\geq\sigma} - P_{0,\theta}^{\geq\sigma} = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_{C_j} [R_{g,\theta}^{\geq\sigma}(z) - R_{0,\theta}^{\geq\sigma}(z)] dz. \quad (4.17)$$

We expand  $R_{g,\theta}^{\geq\sigma}(z)$  into a Neumann series

$$R_{g,\theta}^{\geq\sigma}(z) = R_{0,\theta}^{\geq\sigma}(z) \sum_{n \geq 0} (-W_{g,\theta}^{\geq\sigma} R_{0,\theta}^{\geq\sigma}(z))^n. \quad (4.18)$$

One can show by following the method of [6] that, for  $n = 0, 1/2, 1$ ,

$$\| (H_f^{\geq\sigma})^n R_{0,\theta}^{\geq\sigma} \| = O(\sigma^{-1+n}). \quad (4.19)$$

Hence, using that  $a(G_{x,\theta}^{\geq\sigma}) P_\Omega^{\geq\sigma} = 0$  and  $\| a(G_{x,\theta}^{\leq\sigma}) (H_f^{\geq\sigma})^{-1/2} \bar{P}_\Omega^{\geq\sigma} \| = O(1)$ , we obtain that for all  $n \geq 1$ ,

$$\| R_{0,\theta}^{\geq\sigma}(z) (-W_{g,\theta}^{\geq\sigma} R_{0,\theta}^{\geq\sigma}(z))^n \| \leq \frac{C_1}{\sigma} (C_2 g \sigma^{-1/2})^n, \quad (4.20)$$

where  $C_1$  and  $C_2$  denote positive constants. Inserting this in (4.17) and using the fact that the radius of  $C_j$  is equal to  $\sigma/3$ , we obtain

$$\| P_{g,\theta}^{\geq\sigma} - P_{0,\theta}^{\geq\sigma} \| = \frac{1}{2\pi} \left\| \oint_{C_j} R_{0,\theta}^{\geq\sigma}(z) \sum_{n \geq 1} (-W_{g,\theta}^{\geq\sigma} R_{0,\theta}^{\geq\sigma}(z))^n dz \right\| \leq C_0 g \sigma^{-1/2}, \quad (4.21)$$

provided that  $g \sigma^{-1/2}$  is sufficiently small. Hence the proposition is proven.  $\square$

Using a renormalization group analysis, we will prove in Proposition 6.3 below the following estimate of the difference between the eigenvalues  $\lambda_{j,g}$  and  $\lambda_{j,g}^{\geq \sigma}$  of  $H_{g,\theta}$  and  $H_{g,\theta}^{\sigma}$ :

$$\lambda_{j,g} - \lambda_{j,g}^{\geq \sigma} = O(g^2 \sigma^{1+\mu}),$$

for any  $\sigma > 0$ . Here we prove a weaker estimate, which holds only for  $\sigma \gg g^2$ , but which does not require the use of a renormalization group analysis. Besides, it is sufficient to obtain the statement of Theorem 1.1, with the slightly weaker error term  $O(g^{\frac{1+2\mu}{4+2\mu}})$  for the Nelson model, and  $O(g^{1/4})$  for the QED one.

**Proposition 4.3.** Suppose  $0 < g^2 \ll \sigma < g^{\frac{3}{2+\mu}}$ . Then

$$\lambda_{j,g} - \lambda_{j,g}^{\geq \sigma} = O(g^{4-\frac{3}{2+\mu}}). \quad (4.22)$$

**Proof.** For  $g$  and  $\sigma$  small enough, we choose  $\theta \in D(0, \theta_0)$ ,  $\text{Im}(\theta) \neq 0$ , such that  $0 < g^2 \ll \sigma < d_j \sin|\text{Im}\theta| < 1$ . For  $\rho$  such that  $g^2 \ll \rho < d_j \sin|\text{Im}\theta|$ , let  $P_\theta := P_{p,j,\theta} \otimes \mathbf{1}_{H_f < \rho}$ . Following [4,6],  $\lambda_{j,g}$  satisfies

$$|\lambda_{j,g} - \lambda_j - g^2 Z_{j,\theta}| \leq \sum_{i=1}^5 \|\text{Rem}_i\|, \quad (4.23)$$

where  $Z_{j,\theta} := Z_{j,\theta}^{\text{od}} + Z_{j,\theta}^{\text{d}}$ , with  $Z_{j,\theta}^{\text{od}}$  and  $Z_{j,\theta}^{\text{d}}$  given by (4.10)–(4.11), and

$$\text{Rem}_1 = P_\theta W_{g,\theta} P_\theta, \quad (4.24)$$

$$\text{Rem}_2 = P_\theta W_{g,\theta} \bar{P}_\theta [\bar{P}_\theta H_{0,\theta} - \lambda_{j,g}]^{-1} \bar{P}_\theta W_{g,\theta} P_\theta - g^2 Q_\theta, \quad (4.25)$$

$$\text{Rem}_3 = g^2 [Q_\theta - Z_{j,\theta}], \quad (4.26)$$

$$\text{Rem}_4 = P_\theta W_{g,\theta} (\bar{P}_\theta [\bar{P}_\theta H_{0,\theta} - \lambda_{j,g}]^{-1} \bar{P}_\theta W_{g,\theta})^2 P_\theta, \quad (4.27)$$

$$\text{Rem}_5 = P_\theta W_{g,\theta} \sum_{n \geq 3} (\bar{P}_\theta [\bar{P}_\theta H_{0,\theta} - \lambda_{j,g}]^{-1} \bar{P}_\theta W_{g,\theta})^n P_\theta. \quad (4.28)$$

Here we have set

$$Q_\theta = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} P_\theta \overline{G_{x,\theta}}(k) \left[ \frac{\bar{P}_\theta(\omega(k))}{H_{0,\theta} + e^{-\theta} \omega(k) - \lambda_{j,g}} \right] G_{x,\theta}(k) P_\theta dk, \quad (4.29)$$

where  $\bar{P}_\theta(\omega(k)) := 1 - P_\theta(\omega(k))$ , and  $P_\theta(\omega(k)) := P_{p,j,\theta} \otimes \mathbf{1}_{H_f + \omega(k) < \rho}$ . Using the expression (2.1) of  $G_{x,\theta}$  and estimates similar to [4, Lemmas IV.6–IV.12] or [6, Lemma 3.16], we claim that

$$\|\text{Rem}_1\| = O(g \rho^{1+\mu}), \quad \|\text{Rem}_2\| = O(g^2 \rho^{1+\mu}), \quad \|\text{Rem}_3\| = O(g^2 \rho). \quad (4.30)$$

The first bound in (4.30) easily follows from

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \|P_\theta \overline{G_{x,\theta}}(k) \otimes a^*(k)\| dk = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \|G_{x,\theta}(k) \otimes a(k) P_\theta\| dk \leq C \rho^{1+\mu}. \quad (4.31)$$

The second one follows from normal-ordering (4.25) and using again (4.31). Finally, the last bound in (4.30) follows from computing the difference in (4.26) and using the estimate

$$\left\| \frac{\bar{P}_\theta(\omega(k))}{H_{0,\theta} + e^{-\theta}\omega(k) - \lambda_{j,g}} \right\| \leq \frac{C_1}{(d_j \sin|\operatorname{Im}\theta|)\omega(k)}, \quad (4.32)$$

for some positive constant  $C_1$ . Now it is proved in [4,6] that  $\|\operatorname{Rem}_4 + \operatorname{Rem}_5\| = O(g^3\rho^{-1/2})$ . Let us estimate these terms more precisely: we claim that

$$\|\operatorname{Rem}_4\| = O(g^3\rho^\mu), \quad \|\operatorname{Rem}_5\| = O(g^4\rho^{-1}). \quad (4.33)$$

To prove the first bound in (4.33), we decompose  $W_{g,\theta}$  into  $W_{g,\theta} = g(a^*(G_{x,\theta}) + a(G_{x,\theta}))$  and estimate each term separately by normal ordering. For instance, let us compute

$$\begin{aligned} & P_\theta a(G_{x,\theta}) \frac{\bar{P}_\theta}{H_{0,\theta} - \lambda_{j,g}} a^*(G_{x,\theta}) \frac{\bar{P}_\theta}{H_{0,\theta} - \lambda_{j,g}} a(G_{x,\theta}) P_\theta \\ &= P_\theta \int_{\mathbb{R}^9} G_{x,\theta}(k_1) \otimes a(k_1) \frac{\bar{P}_\theta}{H_{0,\theta} - \lambda_{j,g}} \bar{G}_{x,\theta}(k_2) \\ & \quad \otimes a^*(k_2) \frac{\bar{P}_\theta}{H_{0,\theta} - \lambda_{j,g}} G_{x,\theta}(k_3) \otimes a(k_3) P_\theta dk_1 dk_2 dk_3. \end{aligned} \quad (4.34)$$

It follows from a pull-through formula and the canonical commutation rules that the “worst” term we have to estimate from the r.h.s. of (4.34) is

$$\begin{aligned} T(\rho, \theta) &:= P_\theta \int_{\mathbb{R}^6} G_{x,\theta}(k_1) \otimes I \frac{\bar{P}_\theta(\omega(k_1))}{H_{0,\theta} + e^{-\theta}\omega(k_1) - \lambda_{j,g}} \bar{G}_{x,\theta}(k_1) \\ & \quad \otimes I \frac{\bar{P}_\theta}{H_{0,\theta} - \lambda_{j,g}} G_{x,\theta}(k_3) \otimes a(k_3) P_\theta dk_1 dk_3. \end{aligned} \quad (4.35)$$

One can see that

$$\left\| \frac{\bar{P}_\theta}{H_{0,\theta} - \lambda_{j,g}} \right\| \leq \frac{C_1}{(d_j \sin|\operatorname{Im}\theta|)\rho}, \quad (4.36)$$

for some positive constant  $C_1$ . Inserting this together with (4.32) into (4.35), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \|T(\rho, \theta)\| &\leq \frac{C_1^2}{(d_j \sin|\operatorname{Im}\theta|)^2} \rho^{-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{|G_{x,\theta}(k_1)|^2}{\omega(k_1)} dk_1 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \|G_{x,\theta}(k_3) \otimes a(k_3) P_\theta\| dk_3 \\ &\leq \frac{C_2}{(d_j \sin|\operatorname{Im}\theta|)^2} \rho^\mu, \end{aligned} \quad (4.37)$$

where  $C_2$  is a positive constant. Since the other terms could be estimated in the same way, the first bound in (4.33) follows; the second bound in (4.33) can be obtained by using similar computations (see also [6, Lemma 3.16]).

For  $\rho > \sigma$  the eigenvalue  $\lambda_{j,g}^{\geq \sigma}$  of  $H_{g,\theta}^{\geq \sigma}$  is given by the formulas (4.23)–(4.29), except that  $W_{g,\theta}$  and  $G_{x,\theta}(k)$  are replaced respectively by  $W_{g,\theta}^{\geq \sigma}$  and  $G_{x,\theta}^{\geq \sigma}(k)$ . For the terms analogous to  $Z_{j,\theta}^{\text{od}}$  and  $Z_{j,\theta}^{\text{d}}$  we have by a straightforward computations that

$$\int_{|k| \leq \sigma} \mathcal{U}_{\theta} P_{p,j} \overline{G_x}(k) \overline{P}_{p,j} [H_p - \lambda_j + \omega(k) - i0]^{-1} \overline{P}_{p,j} G_x(k) P_{p,j} \mathcal{U}_{\theta}^{-1} dk = 0, \quad (4.38)$$

$$\int_{|k| \leq \sigma} \mathcal{U}_{\theta} P_{p,j} \overline{G_x}(k) P_{p,j} G_x(k) P_{p,j} \mathcal{U}_{\theta}^{-1} \frac{dk}{\omega(k)} = O(\sigma^{1+2\mu}), \quad (4.39)$$

where in (4.38) we used the fact that  $\sigma < d_j$ . Hence, with the obvious notation,  $Z_{j,\theta} = Z_{j,\theta}^{\geq \sigma} + O(\sigma^{1+2\mu})$ . Furthermore, Eqs. (4.30)–(4.33) still hold for  $\lambda_{j,g}^{\geq \sigma}$ . Hence remembering the assumptions  $\sigma < \rho$ ,  $g^2 \ll \rho$  we obtain

$$\lambda_{j,g} - \lambda_{j,g}^{\geq \sigma} = O(g\rho^{1+\mu}) + O(g^2\rho) + O(g^4\rho^{-1}). \quad (4.40)$$

Optimizing with respect to  $\rho$  leads to the claim of the proposition.  $\square$

The following lemma was used in the proof of Lemmata 3.2 and 3.4.

**Lemma 4.4.** *Let  $\theta$  in  $D(0, \theta_0)$ ,  $\text{Im } \theta > 0$  and let  $g, \sigma$  be such that  $0 < g^2 \ll \sigma \ll 1$ . Then for all  $z \in \mathbb{I}$  and  $n \geq 1$ , we have the estimate:*

$$\|\tilde{R}_{g,\theta}^{\sigma}(z)(\tilde{W}_{g,\theta}^{\leq \sigma} \tilde{R}_{g,\theta}^{\sigma}(z))^n\| \leq C_1 g^{-2} (C_2 \sigma^{1/2+\mu})^n, \quad (4.41)$$

where  $C_1, C_2$  are positive constants.

**Proof.** Recall that

$$\tilde{W}_{g,\theta}^{\leq \sigma} = ga^*(G_{x,\theta}^{\leq \sigma}) + ga(G_{x,\theta}^{\leq \sigma}) + (\lambda_{j,g} - \lambda_{j,g}^{\geq \sigma}). \quad (4.42)$$

From the spectral representation (3.18) and the decomposition (3.5), we can write

$$\tilde{R}_{g,\theta}^{\sigma}(z) = \hat{R}_{g,\theta}^{\sigma}(z) + (P_{g,\theta}^{\geq \sigma} \otimes I)[z - \lambda_{j,g}^{\geq \sigma} - e^{-\theta} H_f^{\leq \sigma}]^{-1}, \quad (4.43)$$

where  $\hat{R}_{g,\theta}^{\sigma}(z) := (I - (P_{g,\theta}^{\geq \sigma} \otimes I))\tilde{R}_{g,\theta}^{\sigma}(z)$ . With the definition (3.7), we have

$$(H_f^{\leq \sigma})^n \hat{R}_{g,\theta}^{\sigma}(z) = \int_{\sigma(H_f^{\leq \sigma})} \omega^n \hat{R}_{g,\theta}^{\geq \sigma}(z - e^{-\theta} \omega) \otimes dE_{H_f^{\leq \sigma}}(\omega). \quad (4.44)$$

It follows from Proposition 4.1 that for all  $z$  in  $\mathbb{I}$  and for  $n = 0, 1/2, 1$

$$\|(H_f^{\leq \sigma})^n \hat{R}_{g,\theta}^{\sigma}(z)\| = O(\sigma^{-1+n}). \quad (4.45)$$

Besides, for  $n = 0, 1/2, 1$

$$\|(H_f^{\leq\sigma})^n [z - \lambda_{j,g}^{\geq\sigma} - e^{-\theta} H_f^{\leq\sigma}]^{-1}\| \leq |\operatorname{Im}(\lambda_{j,g}^{\geq\sigma})|^{-1+n} = O(g^{-2(1-n)}), \quad (4.46)$$

provided that Fermi's Golden Rule holds. From  $a(G_{x,\theta}^{\leq\sigma})P_\Omega^{\leq\sigma} = 0$  and  $\|a(G_{x,\theta}^{\leq\sigma})(H_f^{\leq\sigma})^{-1/2}\bar{P}_\Omega^{\leq\sigma}\| = O(\sigma^{1/2+\mu})$  (where  $P_\Omega^{\leq\sigma}$  denotes the projection onto the vacuum in  $\mathcal{F}_s^{\leq\sigma}$ ), we get

$$\|a(G_{x,\theta}^{\leq\sigma})[z - \lambda_{j,g}^{\geq\sigma} - e^{-\theta} H_f^{\leq\sigma}]^{-1}\| = O(g^{-1}\sigma^{1/2+\mu}). \quad (4.47)$$

Similarly (4.45) leads to

$$\|a(G_{x,\theta}^{\leq\sigma})\hat{R}_{g,\theta}^\sigma(z)\| = O(\sigma^\mu). \quad (4.48)$$

The claim of the lemma then follows from (4.42)–(4.48), the assumption  $g^2 \ll \sigma < g^{\frac{3}{2+\mu}}$ , and Proposition 6.3.  $\square$

## 5. Extension to non-relativistic QED

Now we extend the analysis above to the standard Hamiltonian of non-relativistic QED introduced in (1.2), Section 1. Let now  $H_{g,\theta}$  be the dilatation deformation of the Hamiltonian  $H_g^{SM}$  defined in (1.10). We keep the notation of Sections 2–4.

The results and proofs of Sections 3–4 go through without a change except for the proof of Lemma 3.4. In the non-relativistic QED case,  $W_{g,\theta}$  is given by

$$W_{g,\theta} = ge^{-\theta} p \cdot A_\theta(x) + \frac{g^2}{2} A_\theta(x) \cdot A_\theta(x) - \frac{g^2}{2} \Lambda, \quad (5.1)$$

where we used the notation  $p \cdot A_\theta(x) := -i \sum_{j=1}^N \frac{1}{m_j} \nabla_j \cdot \mathcal{U}_\theta A(x_j) \mathcal{U}_\theta^{-1}$ , and similarly for  $A_\theta(x) \cdot A_\theta(x)$ . The quantized vector potential  $A(x_j)$  is given by (1.3), and the constant  $\Lambda$  is given by  $\Lambda := \frac{1}{(2\pi)^3} (\sum_j \frac{1}{m_j}) \int \chi(k)^2 / |k| d^3k$ . Here we have

$$W_{g,\theta}^{\leq\sigma} = ge^{-\theta} p \cdot A_\theta^{\leq\sigma}(x) + g^2 A_\theta^{\leq\sigma}(x) \cdot A_\theta^{\geq\sigma}(x) + \frac{g^2}{2} A_\theta^{\leq\sigma}(x) \cdot A_\theta^{\leq\sigma}(x) - \frac{g^2}{2} \Lambda^{\leq\sigma}, \quad (5.2)$$

where  $\Lambda^{\leq\sigma} := \frac{1}{(2\pi)^3} (\sum_j \frac{1}{m_j}) \int_{|k| \leq \sigma} \chi(k)^2 / |k| d^3k$ . Hence the QED Hamiltonian satisfies the condition similar to (2.7) with  $\mu = 0$ . We show now how to overcome this difficulty (a different way to proceed is to use the Pauli–Fierz transform [4,6,16]).

In our sketch of the proof of Lemma 3.4, we begin with the most singular term,  $B^1$ , of the expansion (3.35) in Section 3. Thus we have to bound the term  $\tilde{R}_{g,\theta}^\sigma \tilde{W}_{g,\theta}^{\leq\sigma} \tilde{R}_{g,\theta}^\sigma$ . The part of  $\tilde{W}_{g,\theta}^{\leq\sigma}$  involving the difference of the eigenvalues is estimated in the same way as before. Namely, using that  $\|\tilde{R}_{g,\theta}^\sigma\| = O(g^{-2})$  and that, by Proposition 6.3,  $|\lambda_{j,g} - \lambda_{j,g}^{\geq\sigma}| = O(g^2\sigma)$ , we obtain that

$$\|\tilde{R}_{g,\theta}^\sigma(\lambda_{j,g} - \lambda_{j,g}^{\geq\sigma})\tilde{R}_{g,\theta}^\sigma\| = O(\sigma g^{-2}). \quad (5.3)$$

Now we estimate the remaining part  $\tilde{R}_{g,\theta}^\sigma W_{g,\theta}^{\leq\sigma} \tilde{R}_{g,\theta}^\sigma$  of  $\tilde{R}_{g,\theta}^\sigma \tilde{W}_{g,\theta}^{\leq\sigma} \tilde{R}_{g,\theta}^\sigma$ . Using the relation  $e^{-\theta} p_j = m_j e^\theta i[H_{g,\theta}^\sigma, x_j] - g A_\theta(x_j)$  the term  $W_{g,\theta}^{\leq\sigma}$  can be written as

$$W_{g,\theta}^{\leq\sigma} = ge^\theta i[H_{g,\theta}^\sigma, x] \cdot A_\theta^{\leq\sigma}(x) + I, \quad (5.4)$$

where  $[H_{g,\theta}^\sigma, x] \cdot A_\theta^{\leq \sigma}(x) := \sum_j [H_{g,\theta}^\sigma, x_j] \cdot A_\theta^{\leq \sigma}(x_j)$  and  $I := \frac{g^2}{2} [A_\theta^{\leq \sigma}(x) \cdot A_\theta^{\leq \sigma}(x) - A^{\leq \sigma}]$ . Furthermore, using that  $[H_{g,\theta}^\sigma, x] \cdot A_\theta^{\leq \sigma}(x) = [H_{g,\theta}^\sigma, x \cdot A_\theta^{\leq \sigma}(x)] - x \cdot [H_{g,\theta}^\sigma, A_\theta^{\leq \sigma}(x)]$ , we obtain

$$W_{g,\theta}^{\leq \sigma} = g[H_{g,\theta}^\sigma, x \cdot A_\theta^{\leq \sigma}(x)] + I + II, \quad (5.5)$$

where  $II := -gx \cdot [H_{g,\theta}^\sigma, A_\theta^{\leq \sigma}(x)]$ . We can now rewrite the operator  $\tilde{R}_{g,\theta}^\sigma W_{g,\theta}^{\leq \sigma} \tilde{R}_{g,\theta}^\sigma$  as

$$gx \cdot A_\theta^{\leq \sigma}(x) \tilde{R}_{g,\theta}^\sigma - g \tilde{R}_{g,\theta}^\sigma x \cdot A_\theta^{\leq \sigma}(x) + \tilde{R}_{g,\theta}^\sigma (I + II) \tilde{R}_{g,\theta}^\sigma. \quad (5.6)$$

Let  $f$  be a (vector-)function of  $k$ . To estimate the expression above we will use the following estimates

$$\|a(f G_{x,\theta}^{\leq \sigma})^n \psi\| \leq C \sigma^{n/2} \sup_{|k| \leq \sigma} |f| \| (H_f^{\leq \sigma})^{n/2} \psi \|, \quad n = 1, 2, \quad (5.7)$$

$$\|a^*(G_{x,\theta}^{\leq \sigma}) \psi\| \leq C(\sigma^{1/2} \| (H_f^{\leq \sigma})^{1/2} \psi \| + \sigma \|\psi\|), \quad (5.8)$$

$$\| (H_f^{\leq \sigma})^n \tilde{R}_{g,\theta}^\sigma \| \leq C g^{2(n-1)}, \quad n = 0, 1/2, 1. \quad (5.9)$$

The first two estimates are standard (see e.g. [4,6]). To prove the last inequality one uses Eqs. (4.43)–(4.46). In addition we need the following estimate for any  $\delta \ll |\lambda_j - \Sigma|$

$$\| (H_f^{\leq \sigma})^n e^{-\delta \langle x \rangle} \tilde{R}_{g,\theta}^\sigma e^{\delta \langle x \rangle} \| \leq C g^{2(n-1)}, \quad n = 0, 1/2, 1, \quad (5.10)$$

where, recall,  $\Sigma = \inf \sigma_{\text{ess}}(H_p)$ , and  $\langle x \rangle := \sum_j [1 + x_j^2]^{1/2}$ . Eq. (5.10) follows in the same way as (5.9), provided we prove that (4.4) still holds if one replaces  $H_{g,\theta}^{\geq \sigma}$  and  $R_{g,\theta}^{\geq \sigma}$  respectively by  $e^{-\delta \langle x \rangle} H_{g,\theta}^{\geq \sigma} e^{\delta \langle x \rangle}$  and  $e^{-\delta \langle x \rangle} R_{g,\theta}^{\geq \sigma} e^{\delta \langle x \rangle}$ . To prove the latter property, we note that

$$W_{g,\theta}^{\delta, \geq \sigma} = W_{g,\theta}^{\geq \sigma} + i g \delta e^{-\theta} \sum_j \frac{1}{m_j} \langle x_j \rangle^{-1} x_j \cdot A_\theta^{\geq \sigma}(x_j), \quad (5.11)$$

$$H_{0,\theta}^{\delta, \geq \sigma} = e^{-\delta \langle x \rangle} H_{p,\theta} e^{\delta \langle x \rangle} + e^{-\theta} H_f^{\geq \sigma}, \quad (5.12)$$

where  $W_{g,\theta}^{\delta, \geq \sigma} := e^{-\delta \langle x \rangle} W_{g,\theta}^{\geq \sigma} e^{\delta \langle x \rangle}$  and similarly for  $H_{0,\theta}^{\delta, \geq \sigma}$ ,  $\bar{P}_\theta^\delta$ ,  $P_{p,j,\theta}^\delta$  and  $\bar{P}_{p,j,\theta}^\delta$ . Using that  $\bar{P}_\theta^\delta = P_{p,j,\theta}^\delta \otimes \bar{P}_\Omega^{\geq \sigma} + \bar{P}_{p,j,\theta}^\delta \otimes I$  and the fact that  $e^{-\delta \langle x \rangle} H_{p,\theta} e^{\delta \langle x \rangle}$  has the same eigenvalues as  $H_{p,\theta}$  we write

$$[H_{0,\theta}^{\delta, \geq \sigma} - z]^{-1} \bar{P}_\theta^\delta = [e^{-\theta} H_f^{\geq \sigma} + \lambda_j - z]^{-1} (P_{p,j,\theta}^\delta \otimes \bar{P}_\Omega^{\geq \sigma}) + [H_{0,\theta}^{\delta, \geq \sigma} - z]^{-1} (\bar{P}_{p,j,\theta}^\delta \otimes I). \quad (5.13)$$

Using this decomposition we conclude, similarly to (4.5), that for  $\sigma < d_j \sin |\operatorname{Im} \theta|$  and  $z$  in  $D(\lambda_j, \sigma/2)$ , we have for some positive constants  $C_1, C_2$

$$\| [\bar{P}_\theta^\delta H_{0,\theta}^{\delta, \geq \sigma} - z]^{-1} (-W_{g,\theta}^{\delta, \geq \sigma} [\bar{P}_\theta^\delta H_{0,\theta}^{\delta, \geq \sigma} - z]^{-1})^n \| \leq C_1 \sigma^{-1} (C_2 g \sigma^{-1/2})^n. \quad (5.14)$$

Now, the first two terms in Eq. (5.6) have only one resolvent each. Using estimates Eqs. (5.7) and (5.8), with  $n = 1$  and  $f \equiv 1$ , and Eqs. (5.9)–(5.10) with  $n = 1/2$ , we obtain for these terms, times  $e^{-\delta \langle x \rangle}$ , with  $\delta > 0$ , the estimate  $O(\sigma^{\frac{1}{2}} + g^{-1} \sigma)$ . The operator

$$II = ig e^{-\theta} x \cdot (e^{-\theta} p - x g A_{\theta}^{\leq \sigma}(x)) \nabla A_{\theta}^{\leq \sigma}(x) - g e^{-2\theta} x \sum \frac{1}{2m_j} \Delta_j A_{\theta}^{\leq \sigma}(x) + x [H_f^{\leq \sigma}, A_{\theta}^{\leq \sigma}(x)] \quad (5.15)$$

has better infrared behavior than the original operator  $A_{\theta}^{\leq \sigma}(x)$  by an extra factor  $k$ ,  $\omega^2$  or  $\omega$ , which, due to (5.7), with  $n = 1$  and  $f = \omega$  or  $k$ , and (5.9)–(5.10), gives the estimate  $\|e^{-\delta(x)} \tilde{R}_{g,\theta}^{\sigma} II \tilde{R}_{g,\theta}^{\sigma}\| = O(g^{-2} \sigma^{\frac{3}{2}})$ . Finally, the term  $I$  is quadratic in  $A_{\theta}^{\leq \sigma}(x)$ . Putting it to the normal form and using the estimates (5.7) and (5.9) leads to the estimate  $\tilde{R}_{g,\theta}^{\sigma} I \tilde{R}_{g,\theta}^{\sigma} = O(\sigma)$ . Collecting the above estimates and using that  $O(\sigma^{\frac{1}{2}} + g^{-1} \sigma + g^{-2} \sigma^{3/2}) = O(g^{-2} \sigma^{3/2})$ , we arrive at

$$\|e^{-\delta(x)} \tilde{R}_{g,\theta}^{\sigma} W_{g,\theta}^{\leq \sigma} \tilde{R}_{g,\theta}^{\sigma}\| = O(g^{-2} \sigma^{3/2}). \quad (5.16)$$

Next, we pull  $e^{-\delta(x)}$ , with  $\delta > 0$  sufficiently small, from  $\Psi_j$  and use the above estimate to obtain

$$|(\Psi_{j,\bar{\theta}}, \tilde{R}_{g,\theta}^{\sigma} W_{g,\theta}^{\leq \sigma} \tilde{R}_{g,\theta}^{\sigma} \Psi_{j,\theta})| = O(g^{-2} \sigma^{3/2}).$$

Therefore, the largest contribution to  $B^1$  comes from the term (5.3) that involves the difference of the eigenvalues. Taking into account the factor  $\sigma$  obtained from the  $z$  integration yields that  $B^1 = O(\sigma^2 g^{-2})$ .

One can estimate the operators  $B^n$ ,  $n \geq 2$ , similarly. In particular, we claim that  $B^n = O(\sigma^{\frac{n+4}{2}} g^{-2})$  for  $n \geq 2$ . Consider for example the term  $B^2$ . Since  $\tilde{R}_{g,\theta}^{\sigma} = O(g^{-2})$  and  $(\lambda_{j,g} - \lambda_{j,g}^{\geq \sigma}) P_{g,\theta}^{\geq \sigma} \otimes I = O(g^2 \sigma)$ , we have that

$$\|\tilde{R}_{g,\theta}^{\sigma} (\lambda_{j,g} - \lambda_{j,g}^{\geq \sigma}) (P_{g,\theta}^{\geq \sigma} \otimes I) \tilde{R}_{g,\theta}^{\sigma} (\lambda_{j,g} - \lambda_{j,g}^{\geq \sigma}) (P_{g,\theta}^{\geq \sigma} \otimes I) \tilde{R}_{g,\theta}^{\sigma}\| = O(\sigma^2 g^{-2}). \quad (5.17)$$

By pulling  $e^{-\delta(x)}$  from  $\Psi_j$ , we have using (5.16) that

$$|(\Psi_{j,\bar{\theta}}, \tilde{R}_{g,\theta}^{\sigma} (\lambda_{j,g} - \lambda_{j,g}^{\geq \sigma}) P_{g,\theta}^{\geq \sigma} \otimes I \tilde{R}_{g,\theta}^{\sigma} W_{g,\theta}^{\leq \sigma} \tilde{R}_{g,\theta}^{\sigma} \Psi_{j,\theta})| = O(\sigma^{5/2} g^{-2}). \quad (5.18)$$

Using (5.6), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{R}_{g,\theta}^{\sigma} W_{g,\theta}^{\leq \sigma} \tilde{R}_{g,\theta}^{\sigma} W_{g,\theta}^{\leq \sigma} \tilde{R}_{g,\theta}^{\sigma} &= \underbrace{g \tilde{R}_{g,\theta}^{\sigma} W_{g,\theta}^{\leq \sigma} x \cdot A_{\theta}^{\leq \sigma}(x) \tilde{R}_{g,\theta}^{\sigma}}_{III} - \underbrace{g \tilde{R}_{g,\theta}^{\sigma} W_{g,\theta}^{\leq \sigma} \tilde{R}_{g,\theta}^{\sigma} x \cdot A_{\theta}^{\leq \sigma}(x)}_{IV} \\ &\quad + \underbrace{\tilde{R}_{g,\theta}^{\sigma} W_{g,\theta}^{\leq \sigma} \tilde{R}_{g,\theta}^{\sigma} I \tilde{R}_{g,\theta}^{\sigma}}_V + \underbrace{\tilde{R}_{g,\theta}^{\sigma} W_{g,\theta}^{\leq \sigma} \tilde{R}_{g,\theta}^{\sigma} II \tilde{R}_{g,\theta}^{\sigma}}_{VI}. \end{aligned} \quad (5.19)$$

It follows from (5.7) and (5.9)–(5.10) and from (5.8) that

$$\|e^{-\delta(x)} III\| = O(\sigma) \quad \text{and} \quad \|e^{-\delta(x)} IV\| = O(\sigma + \sigma^{3/2} g^{-1}). \quad (5.20)$$

Since the operator  $I$  is quadratic in  $A_{\theta}^{\leq \sigma}$ , we obtain by putting it to the normal form and using again (5.7) and (5.9)–(5.10)

$$\|e^{-\delta(x)} V\| = O(\sigma^{3/2}). \quad (5.21)$$

Finally, as above the fact that  $II$  has better infrared behavior than  $A_{\theta}^{\leq \sigma}$  by the factor  $\omega$  leads to

$$\|e^{-\delta(x)} VI\| = O(\sigma^2 g^{-2}). \quad (5.22)$$

By pulling  $e^{-\delta(x)}$  from  $\Psi_j$  and using (5.19)–(5.22) we find that

$$(\Psi_{j,\bar{\theta}}, \tilde{R}_{g,\theta}^\sigma W_{g,\theta}^{\leq \sigma} \tilde{R}_{g,\theta}^\sigma W_{g,\theta}^{\leq \sigma} \tilde{R}_{g,\theta}^\sigma \Psi_{j,\theta}) = O(\sigma^2 g^{-2}). \quad (5.23)$$

Together with a factor of  $\sigma$  obtained from the  $z$  integration, (5.17), (5.18) and (5.23) yield the estimate  $B^2 = O(\sigma^3 g^{-2})$ .

Instead of (3.40), Section 3, we have in the case of non-relativistic QED

$$(\Psi_j, e^{-itH_g} f(H_g) \Psi_j) = e^{-it\lambda_{j,g}} + O(g^2 \sigma^{-1}) + O(\sigma^2 g^{-2}), \quad t \geq 0.$$

Optimizing and removing the  $f$  dependence as in the proof of Theorem 1.1 gives

$$(\Psi_j, e^{-itH_g} \Psi_j) = e^{-it\lambda_{j,g}} + O(g^{2/3}), \quad t \geq 0.$$

## 6. Proof of Theorem 1.4

Let  $P_\Omega$  be the projection on the vacuum  $\Omega$  in  $\mathcal{F}_s$ . We prove Theorem 1.4 for the set  $\mathcal{D}'$  chosen explicitly as

$$\mathcal{D}' := \{\psi \in \mathcal{D} \mid \|d\Gamma(\omega^{-1/2})(1 - P_\Omega)\psi\| < \infty\}$$

for the Nelson model and as

$$\mathcal{D}' := \{\psi \in \mathcal{D} \mid \|e^{\delta(x)} d\Gamma(\omega^{-1/2})(1 - P_\Omega)\psi\| < \infty \text{ for some } \delta > 0\}$$

for the QED one. Since  $\mathcal{U}_\theta d\Gamma(\omega^{-1/2}) = e^{\theta/2} d\Gamma(\omega^{-1/2}) \mathcal{U}_\theta$ , the set  $\mathcal{D}'$  is dense in  $\mathcal{D}$ .

We conduct the proof for the Nelson model only. To extend it to the QED one uses the methodology of Section 5. As in Sections 2–4, the symbol  $H_{g,\theta}$  stands for the dilatation transformation, (1.10) of the Nelson Hamiltonian  $H_g = H_g^N$ .

The RG analysis [4,5] shows that given  $\delta > 0$ , there exist  $g_* > 0$  and  $\varphi_* \in (0, \varphi_0)$  s.t. for  $g \leq g_*$  and  $\text{Im } \theta \in (\varphi_*, \varphi_0)$ , the spectrum of the operator  $H_{g,\theta}$  in the half-plane  $\{\text{Re } z \leq \Sigma - \delta\}$  lies in the union of wedges

$$S_j := \lambda_{j,g} + \{z \in \mathbb{C} \mid |\arg(z) - \text{Im } \theta| \leq \epsilon\},$$

where  $\lambda_{j,g} = \lambda_j + O(g^2)$ ,  $\text{Im } \lambda_{j,g} \leq 0$  and  $\epsilon < |\text{Im } \theta|$  is a positive number.<sup>7</sup> Moreover, the apices,  $\lambda_{j,g}$ , of these wedges are the eigenvalues of  $H_{g,\theta}$ . If, in addition, condition (C) holds for  $\lambda_j$  then  $\text{Im } \lambda_{j,g} \leq -\text{const. } g^2$ .

We take  $z \in W_{\lambda_{j,g}}^{\varphi_1, \varphi_2}$  with  $\varphi_1 = \pi/2 - \varphi_0$  and  $\varphi_2 > 3\pi/2 - \varphi_*$ . We want to estimate  $(\psi, (H_{g,\theta} - z)^{-1} \psi)$ . Using an infrared cut-off as in Section 2, we decompose

$$H_{g,\theta} = \tilde{H}_{g,\theta}^\sigma + \tilde{W}_{g,\theta}^{\leq \sigma}, \quad (6.1)$$

see (2.14). The infrared cut-off Hamiltonian  $\tilde{H}_{g,\theta}^\sigma$  has an eigenvalue at  $\lambda_{j,g}$ . We use the second resolvent equation

$$(H_{g,\theta} - z)^{-1} = (\tilde{H}_{g,\theta}^\sigma - z)^{-1} + (\tilde{H}_{g,\theta}^\sigma - z)^{-1} \tilde{W}_{g,\theta}^{\leq \sigma} (H_{g,\theta} - z)^{-1}. \quad (6.2)$$

<sup>7</sup> [4,5] contain an additional assumption of confinement of the particle system (see footnote 4). The proof for the QED model without the confinement assumption is given in [16].






Fig. 3. Spectrum of  $\tilde{H}_{g,\theta}^{\geq\sigma}$  near  $\lambda_{j,g}$ .

Let  $\tilde{R}_{g,\theta}^\sigma(z) := (\tilde{H}_{g,\theta}^\sigma - z)^{-1}$  and let  $P_\Omega^{\leq\sigma}$  be the projection onto the vacuum state of  $\mathcal{F}_s^{\leq\sigma}$  and  $\bar{P} = 1 - P$ . Then

$$\tilde{R}_{g,\theta}^\sigma(z) = \frac{P_{g,\theta}^{\geq\sigma} \otimes P_\Omega^{\leq\sigma}}{\lambda_{j,g} - z} + \frac{P_{g,\theta}^{\geq\sigma} \otimes \bar{P}_\Omega^{\leq\sigma}}{\lambda_{j,g} + e^{-\theta} H_f^{\leq\sigma} - z} + \hat{R}_{g,\theta}^\sigma(z), \quad (6.3)$$

where, as above,

$$\hat{R}_{g,\theta}^\sigma(z) := (\bar{P}_{g,\theta}^{\geq\sigma} \otimes I) \tilde{R}_{g,\theta}^\sigma(z). \quad (6.4)$$

By our condition on  $z$  we can pick  $\theta$  so that

$$\operatorname{Re}(e^\theta(\lambda_{j,g} - z)) \geq 0, \quad (6.5)$$

i.e.  $|\operatorname{Im} \theta + \arg(\lambda_{j,g} - z)| \leq \pi/2$ . Then

$$\left\| \left( \psi, \frac{P_{g,\theta}^{\geq\sigma} \otimes \bar{P}_\Omega^{\leq\sigma}}{\lambda_{j,g} + e^{-\theta} H_f^{\leq\sigma} - z} \psi \right) \right\| \leq \| (H_f^{\leq\sigma})^{-1/2} \bar{P}_\Omega^{\leq\sigma} \psi \|^2. \quad (6.6)$$

(More generally, the l.h.s. is bounded by  $|\lambda_{j,g} - z|^{-\alpha} \| (H_f^{\leq\sigma})^{-(1-\alpha)/2} \bar{P}_\Omega^{\leq\sigma} \psi \|^2$  for  $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$ .) Furthermore, an elementary analysis of the  $n$ -photon sectors shows that

$$\| (H_f^{\leq\sigma})^{-1/2} \bar{P}_\Omega^{\leq\sigma} \psi \| \leq \| d\Gamma(\omega^{-1/2}) \bar{P}_\Omega \psi \|. \quad (6.7)$$

Hence, by the definition of  $\mathcal{D}'$ , we have that, for all  $\psi \in \mathcal{D}'$ ,

$$\left\| \left( \psi, \frac{P_{g,\theta}^{\geq\sigma} \otimes \bar{P}_\Omega^{\leq\sigma}}{\lambda_{j,g} + e^{-\theta} H_f^{\leq\sigma} - z} \psi \right) \right\| \leq C. \quad (6.8)$$

Next, to estimate  $\hat{R}_{g,\theta}^\sigma(z)$ , see Eq. (6.4), we use the representation (4.44). Applying to  $H_{g,\theta}^{\geq\sigma}$  a renormalization group analysis as in [4,5,2,9,8], one can show that the spectrum of  $\tilde{H}_{g,\theta}^{\geq\sigma}$  is of the form pictured in Fig. 3, and that for  $|z - \lambda_{j,g}| \leq \sigma/2$  and  $\omega \geq 0$

$$\| \hat{R}_{g,\theta}^{\geq\sigma}(z - e^{-\theta} \omega) \| \leq C(\sigma + \omega)^{-1}, \quad (6.9)$$

which, together with (4.44), implies, for  $|z - \lambda_{j,g}| \leq \sigma/2$  and  $n = 0, 1/2, 1$ , the estimate

$$\| (H_f^{\leq\sigma})^n \hat{R}_{g,\theta}^\sigma(z) \| \leq C\sigma^{n-1}, \quad (6.10)$$

for some constant  $C$ .

Eqs. (6.3), (6.8) and (6.10) imply that, for  $\psi \in \mathcal{D}'$ ,

$$\left| \left( \psi, \left( \tilde{R}_{g,\theta}^\sigma(z) - \frac{P_{g,\theta}^{\geq\sigma} \otimes P_\Omega^{\leq\sigma}}{\lambda_{j,g} - z} \right) \psi \right) \right| \leq C/\sigma. \quad (6.11)$$

Finally we estimate the last term on the r.h.s. of Eq. (6.2). Recall that

$$\tilde{W}_{g,\theta}^{\leq\sigma} = W_{g,\theta}^{\leq\sigma} - (\lambda_{j,g} - \lambda_{j,g}^{\geq\sigma}) \mathcal{V}^{-1} (P_{g,\theta}^{\geq\sigma} \otimes I) \mathcal{V}, \quad (6.12)$$

where

$$W_{g,\theta}^{\leq\sigma} := W_{g,\theta} - W_{g,\theta}^{\geq\sigma} = g\phi(G_{x,\theta}^{\leq\sigma}). \quad (6.13)$$

Below, we let  $\sigma \rightarrow 0$ , as  $|\lambda_{j,g} - z| \rightarrow 0$ . Hence we have to estimate  $\lambda_{j,g} - \lambda_{j,g}^{\geq\sigma}$  for any  $\sigma > 0$ . We claim that

$$|\lambda_{j,g} - \lambda_{j,g}^{\geq\sigma}| = O((g\sigma^{1/2+\mu})^2). \quad (6.14)$$

This estimate is proven in the proposition at the end of this section.

Iterating the last term on the r.h.s. of Eq. (6.2) we see that the worst term is  $\tilde{R}_{g,\theta}^\sigma(z) \tilde{W}_{g,\theta}^{\leq\sigma} \tilde{R}_{g,\theta}^\sigma(z)$ . We use the decomposition (6.3). Since the operator  $W_{g,\theta}^{\leq\sigma}$  is in normal form, we see that the term coming from sandwiching it between the first term on the r.h.s. of (6.3) vanishes. Thus, it remains to consider the terms

$$\tilde{R}_{g,\theta}^\sigma(z) (\lambda_{j,g} - \lambda_{j,g}^{\geq\sigma}) (P_{g,\theta}^{\geq\sigma} \otimes I) \tilde{R}_{g,\theta}^\sigma(z), \quad (6.15)$$

$$\left[ \frac{P_{g,\theta}^{\geq\sigma} \otimes P_\Omega^{\leq\sigma}}{\lambda_{j,g} - z} + \frac{P_{g,\theta}^{\geq\sigma} \otimes \bar{P}_\Omega^{\leq\sigma}}{\lambda_{j,g} + e^{-\theta} H_f^{\leq\sigma} - z} + \hat{R}_{g,\theta}^\sigma(z) \right] W_{g,\theta}^{\leq\sigma} \left[ \frac{P_{g,\theta}^{\geq\sigma} \otimes \bar{P}_\Omega^{\leq\sigma}}{\lambda_{j,g} + e^{-\theta} H_f^{\leq\sigma} - z} + \hat{R}_{g,\theta}^\sigma(z) \right] \quad (6.16)$$

and the term obtained by switching the right and left factors in (6.16).

We note that, by the decomposition (6.3) and the definition of  $\tilde{R}_{g,\theta}^\sigma(z)$ , Eq. (6.15) can be written as

$$(\lambda_{j,g} - \lambda_{j,g}^{\geq\sigma}) \frac{P_{g,\theta}^{\geq\sigma} \otimes P_\Omega^{\leq\sigma}}{(\lambda_{j,g} - z)^2} + (\lambda_{j,g} - \lambda_{j,g}^{\geq\sigma}) \frac{P_{g,\theta}^{\geq\sigma} \otimes \bar{P}_\Omega^{\leq\sigma}}{(\lambda_{j,g} + e^{-\theta} H_f^{\leq\sigma} - z)^2}. \quad (6.17)$$

Using (6.14) we obtain the following estimate for (6.15):

$$(6.15) = O((g\sigma^{1/2+\mu} |\lambda_{j,g} - z|^{-1})^2). \quad (6.18)$$

To estimate (6.16), we first observe that, due to (6.5), we have that, for  $n = 0, 1/2, 1$

$$\|(H_f^{\leq\sigma})^n (\lambda_{j,g} + e^{-\theta} H_f^{\leq\sigma} - z)^{-1}\| \leq C |\lambda_{j,g} - z|^{n-1}. \quad (6.19)$$

Assume  $\sigma \geq |z - \lambda_{j,g}|$ . Using estimates (2.7), (6.10) and (6.19) (or (6.25)), the fact that  $P_\Omega^{\leq\sigma} a^*(G_{x,\theta}^{\leq\sigma}) = 0$  and standard estimates on the creation and annihilation operators, and remembering the condition

that  $\operatorname{Re}(e^\theta(\lambda_{j,g} - z)) \geq 0$ , we obtain the bound

$$\|\tilde{R}_{g,\theta}^\sigma(z) W_{g,\theta}^{\leq \sigma} \tilde{R}_{g,\theta}^\sigma(z)\| \leq C \frac{1}{|z - \lambda_{j,g}|} g \sigma^{\frac{1}{2} + \mu} \left( \frac{1}{|z - \lambda_{j,g}|^{1/2}} + \frac{1}{\sigma^{1/2}} \right). \quad (6.20)$$

This together with (6.18) yields

$$\|\tilde{R}_{g,\theta}^\sigma(z) \tilde{W}_{g,\theta}^{\leq \sigma} \tilde{R}_{g,\theta}^\sigma(z)\| \leq C \frac{g \sigma^{\frac{1}{2} + \mu}}{|z - \lambda_{j,g}|} \left( \frac{1}{\sigma^{1/2}} + \frac{1}{|z - \lambda_{j,g}|^{1/2}} + \frac{g \sigma^{\frac{1}{2} + \mu}}{|z - \lambda_{j,g}|} \right). \quad (6.21)$$

Since, as we mentioned, the higher-order iterates of (6.2) are estimated similarly and lead to improved estimates, we conclude, assuming  $\sigma \geq |z - \lambda_{j,g}|$ , that

$$\|\tilde{R}_{g,\theta}^\sigma(z) \tilde{W}_{g,\theta}^{\leq \sigma} R_{g,\theta}(z)\| \leq C \left( \frac{g \sigma^{\frac{1}{2} + \mu}}{|z - \lambda_{j,g}|^{3/2}} + \frac{g^2 \sigma^{1+2\mu}}{|z - \lambda_{j,g}|^2} \right), \quad (6.22)$$

where  $R_{g,\theta}(z) := (H_{g,\theta} - z)^{-1}$ .

It follows from (6.2), (6.11) and (6.22) that, for  $g$  small enough,

$$\left| \left( \psi, \left( (H_{g,\theta} - z)^{-1} - \frac{1}{\lambda_{j,g} - z} P_{g,\theta}^{\geq \sigma} \otimes P_{\Omega}^{\leq \sigma} \right) \psi \right) \right| \leq C \left( \frac{1}{\sigma} + \frac{g \sigma^\alpha}{r^{3/2}} + \frac{g^2 \sigma^{2\alpha}}{r^2} \right), \quad (6.23)$$

where  $r := |z - \lambda_{j,g}|$  and  $\alpha := 1/2 + \mu$ , for some constant  $C$ , provided  $\sigma \geq |z - \lambda_{j,g}|$ . We now pick  $\sigma = r^\beta g^{-(3/2+\mu)^{-1}}$ , where  $\beta := (1 + \frac{2}{3}\mu)^{-1}$ . By our assumption,  $\beta < 1$  and therefore  $\sigma > r$ . Then, for this choice of  $\sigma$ ,

$$\left| \left( \psi, \left( (H_{g,\theta} - z)^{-1} - \frac{1}{\lambda_{j,g} - z} P_{g,\theta}^{\geq \sigma} \otimes P_{\Omega}^{\leq \sigma} \right) \psi \right) \right| \leq C g^{(3/2+\mu)^{-1}} r^{-\beta}.$$

Let  $\psi_\theta := \mathcal{U}_\theta \psi$ . The last estimate, together with the relation

$$(\psi, (H_g - z)^{-1} \psi) = (\psi_\theta, (H_{g,\theta} - z)^{-1} \psi_\theta), \quad (6.24)$$

implies (i) and (ii) in Theorem 1.4, with  $\beta = (1 + \frac{2}{3}\mu)^{-1}$ .  $\square$

**Remark 6.1.** The expression for  $\beta$  can be improved if one uses (6.7) to conclude that, for  $n = 0, 1/2$ ,

$$\|(H_f^{\leq \sigma})^n (\lambda_{j,g} + e^{-\theta} H_f^{\leq \sigma} - z)^{-1} \psi\| \leq C |\lambda_{j,g} - z|^{n-1/2} \|d\Gamma(\omega^{-1/2}) \bar{P}_\Omega \psi\|, \quad (6.25)$$

which is better than (6.19). This estimate leads to the inequality

$$|(\psi, \tilde{R}_{g,\theta}^\sigma(z) W_{g,\theta}^{\leq \sigma} \tilde{R}_{g,\theta}^\sigma(z) \psi)| \leq C \frac{1}{|z - \lambda_{j,g}|} g \sigma^{\frac{1}{2} + \mu} \left( \frac{\sigma^{1/2}}{|z - \lambda_{j,g}|^{1/2}} + \frac{1}{\sigma^{1/2}} \right), \quad (6.26)$$

which has a better r.h.s than (6.20).

**Remark 6.2.** To define resonances for the QED model it is technically more convenient to use a family of unitary transformations different from the dilatation one (see [16]).

**Proposition 6.3.** Under the conditions of Theorem 1.4, we have for any  $\sigma > 0$

$$\lambda_{j,g} - \lambda_{j,g}^{\geq \sigma} = O(g^2 \sigma^{1+\mu}). \quad (6.27)$$

**Proof.** To prove (6.14) we use the RG approach. Here we only point out particularities of the present problem and outline the general strategy; technical details can be found in [4,5,2,9,8] (see also [16] for the QED case). Since we do not go into details, we use the Feshbach–Schur map, rather than the smooth Feshbach–Schur map, to underpin our construction. The former [4,5] is simpler to formulate but the latter [2,10,9,8] is easier to handle technically. Our strategy follows [8] (see also [9]).

First we apply the Feshbach–Schur map  $\mathcal{F}_{P_{\rho_0}}$  associated to the projection  $P_{\rho} := P_{g,\theta}^{\geq \sigma} \otimes \chi_{\rho}^{\leq \sigma}$ , where  $\chi_{\rho}^{\leq \sigma} := \chi_{H_f^{\leq \sigma} \leq \rho}$ . For  $z \in D(\lambda_{j,g}^{\geq \sigma}, \sigma/2)$  and  $\rho_0 = \sigma$ , the operator  $H_{g,\theta} - z$  is in the domain of  $\mathcal{F}_{P_{\rho_0}}$ . Indeed, an easy estimate shows that the operator  $\bar{P}_{\rho_0} H_{g,\theta}^{\sigma} \bar{P}_{\rho_0} - z$  is invertible on  $\text{Ran } \bar{P}_{\rho_0}$  and  $\|[H_f^{\leq \sigma} + \sigma] \bar{P}_{\rho_0} [\bar{P}_{\rho_0} H_{g,\theta}^{\sigma} \bar{P}_{\rho_0} - z]^{-1} \bar{P}_{\rho_0}\| \leq C$ . Since  $\|[H_f^{\leq \sigma} + \sigma]^{-1/2} W_{g,\theta}^{\leq \sigma} [H_f^{\leq \sigma} + \sigma]^{-1/2}\| \leq C g \sigma^{\mu}$ , we see by Neumann series expansion that the operator  $\bar{P}_{\rho_0} H_{g,\theta} \bar{P}_{\rho_0} - z$  is invertible on  $\text{Ran } \bar{P}_{\rho_0}$  and  $\|\bar{P}_{\rho_0} [\bar{P}_{\rho_0} H_{g,\theta} \bar{P}_{\rho_0} - z]^{-1} \bar{P}_{\rho_0}\| \leq C/\sigma$ . Hence the operator  $H_{g,\theta} - z$  is in the domain of  $\mathcal{F}_{P_{\rho_0}}$ , as claimed. Next, we note that

$$\mathcal{F}_{P_{\rho_0}}(H_{g,\theta} - z) = P_{g,\theta}^{\geq \sigma} \otimes H_z,$$

where the operator  $H_z$  acts on  $\text{Ran } \chi_{\rho_0}^{\leq \sigma} \subset \mathcal{F}_s^{\leq \sigma}$  and is given by

$$H_z := \chi_{\rho_0}^{\leq \sigma} (\psi_{g,\theta}^{\geq \sigma}, (\lambda_{j,g}^{\geq \sigma} - z + H_f^{\leq \sigma} + W_{g,\theta}^{\leq \sigma} + U) \psi_{g,\theta}^{\geq \sigma}) \chi_{\rho_0}^{\leq \sigma}, \quad (6.28)$$

where  $U := -W_{g,\theta}^{\leq \sigma} \bar{P}_{\rho_0} [\bar{P}_{\rho_0} H_{g,\theta} \bar{P}_{\rho_0} - z]^{-1} \bar{P}_{\rho_0} W_{g,\theta}^{\leq \sigma}$ .

By the isospectrality of the Feshbach–Schur map (see [4–6,2,8]), we have that  $z \in D(\lambda_{j,g}^{\geq \sigma}, \sigma/2)$  is an eigenvalue of  $H_{g,\theta}$  iff 0 is an eigenvalue of  $H_z$ . To investigate the spectral properties of  $H_z$ , we make use of the renormalization group method.

As a first step, we rewrite the operator  $H_z$  in a generalized normal form. To this end we expand the resolvent on the r.h.s. in a Neumann series in  $W_{g,\theta}^{\leq \sigma}$  and normal-order the creation and annihilation operators not entering the expression for  $H_f^{\leq \sigma}$ . This brings the operator  $H_z$  to the form (see [4, 5,2,8,9])

$$H_z := \chi_{\rho_0}^{\leq \sigma} (E_z + T_z + W_z) \chi_{\rho_0}^{\leq \sigma}, \quad (6.29)$$

where  $E_z$  is a number (more precisely, a complex function of  $z$  and other parameters),  $T_z$  is a differentiable function of  $H_f^{\leq \sigma}$  and  $W_z$  is an operator in the generalized normal form that is a sum of terms with at least one creation or annihilation operator. A standard computation gives that  $E_z := \lambda_{j,g}^{\geq \sigma} - z + \Delta E_z$ , with

$$\Delta E_z := - \int (\psi_{g,\theta}^{\geq \sigma}, G_{x,\theta}^{\leq \sigma}(k) \bar{P}_{g,\theta}^{\geq \sigma} [\bar{P}_{g,\theta}^{\geq \sigma} H_{g,\theta}^{\geq \sigma} \bar{P}_{g,\theta}^{\geq \sigma} + e^{-\theta} \omega - z]^{-1} \bar{P}_{g,\theta}^{\geq \sigma} G_{x,\theta}^{\leq \sigma}(k) \psi_{g,\theta}^{\geq \sigma}) dk + \text{h.o.t.},$$

$$T_z := H_f^{\leq \sigma} - \int (\psi_{g,\theta}^{\geq \sigma}, G_{x,\theta}^{\leq \sigma}(k) f(H_f^{\leq \sigma} + \omega) G_{x,\theta}^{\leq \sigma}(k) \psi_{g,\theta}^{\geq \sigma}) dk + \text{h.o.t.},$$

$$W_z := \left( \psi_{g,\theta}^{\geq \sigma}, \left( W_{g,\theta}^{\leq \sigma} - \int \int G_{x,\theta}^{\leq \sigma}(k) a^*(k) f(H_f^{\leq \sigma} + \omega + \omega') a(k') G_{x,\theta}^{\leq \sigma}(k') dk dk' \right) \psi_{g,\theta}^{\geq \sigma} \right) + \text{h.o.t.},$$

where  $f(H_f^{\leq\sigma}) := \bar{P}_{\rho_0}[\bar{P}_{\rho_0}(H_{g,\theta}^{\geq\sigma} + e^{-\theta}H_f^{\leq\sigma})\bar{P}_{\rho_0} - z]^{-1}\bar{P}_{\rho_0}$ . Clearly,

$$\Delta E_z = O((g\sigma^{1/2+\mu})^2) \quad \text{and} \quad \chi_{\rho_0}^{\leq\sigma} W_z \chi_{\rho_0}^{\leq\sigma} = O(g\sigma^{1+\mu}). \quad (6.30)$$

Let  $a^\#(k)$  stand for either  $a(k)$  or  $a^*(k)$ ,  $k \in \mathbb{R}^3$ . We define the scaling transformation  $S_\rho : \mathcal{B}[\mathcal{F}_s^{\leq\sigma}] \rightarrow \mathcal{B}[\mathcal{F}_s^{\leq\sigma/\rho}]$ , by

$$S_\rho(I) := I, \quad S_\rho(a^\#(k)) := \rho^{-3/2}a^\#(\rho^{-1}k). \quad (6.31)$$

Now we rescale the operator  $H_z$  as  $H_z^{(0)} := A_\sigma(S_\sigma(H_z))$ . The new operator acts on  $\text{Ran } \chi_1^{\leq 1} \subset \mathcal{F}_s^{\leq 1}$ . The last estimate in (6.30) and an estimate on the derivative of  $T_z$  as a function of  $H_f^{\leq\sigma}$ , which we do not display here, show that the operator  $H_z^{(0)}$  is in the domain of the Feshbach–Schur map  $\mathcal{F}_{\chi_\rho^{\leq\sigma}}$ , provided  $1/2 \geq \rho \gg g\sigma^\mu$  and  $\rho \gg |E_z|/\sigma$  (the latter inequality is also considered as a restriction on  $z$ ).

If we neglect the term  $W_z$  in  $H_z^{(0)}$  (see (6.29)) then the remaining operator has the vacuum  $\Omega$  as an eigenvector corresponding to the eigenvalue 0, provided  $z$  solves the equation  $E_z^{(0)} := (\Omega, H_z^{(0)}\Omega) = E_z/\sigma = 0$ . One can show [8] that this equation has a unique solution  $\lambda_{j,g}^{(1)} = \lambda_{j,g}^{\geq\sigma} + O((g\sigma^{1/2+\mu})^2)$ . By the isospectrality mentioned above, this is our first approximation to  $\lambda_{j,g}$ .

Now we introduce the decimation map  $F_\rho := \mathcal{F}_{\chi_\rho^{\leq\sigma}}$ . On the domain of the decimation map  $F_\rho$  we define the renormalization map  $\mathcal{R}_\rho$  as<sup>8</sup>

$$\mathcal{R}_\rho := \rho^{-1}S_\rho \circ F_\rho. \quad (6.32)$$

By the above, the operator  $H_z^{(0)}$  is in the domain of the decimation map  $F_\rho$  and therefore in the domain the renormalization map  $\mathcal{R}_\rho$ , provided  $1/2 \geq \rho \gg g\sigma^\mu$  and  $\rho \gg |E_z|/\sigma$ . Iterating this map as in [8] (see also [9]) we obtain a sequence of operators  $H_z^{(n)}$ ,  $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$  (Hamiltonians on scales  $0, 1, \dots$ ), acting on the space  $\text{Ran } \chi_1^{\leq 1} \subset \mathcal{F}_s^{\leq 1}$ . Again, one argues that 0 is an approximate eigenvalue of the operators  $H_z^{(n)}$ , provided  $z$  satisfies the equations  $E_z^{(n)} := (\Omega, H_z^{(n)}\Omega) = 0$ . Namely, one proves that the equations  $(\Omega, H_z^{(n)}\Omega) = 0$  in  $z$  have unique solutions  $\lambda_{j,g}^{(n)}$  satisfying

$$\lambda_{j,g}^{(n)} = \lambda_{j,g}^{\geq\sigma} + O((g\sigma^{1/2+\mu})^2)$$

and  $|\lambda_{j,g}^{(n)} - \lambda_{j,g}^{(n-1)}| \leq \text{const. } \rho^n$  (see [8, Proposition V.3]). Consequently,  $\lambda_{j,g}^{(n)}$  converge,  $\lambda_{j,g}^{(n)} \rightarrow \lambda_{j,g}$ , as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . By the isospectrality of  $\mathcal{R}_\rho$  we conclude that the operator  $H_z^{(0)}$  has a simple eigenvalue 0, provided  $z = \lambda_{j,g}$  (see [8, Theorem V.2]). Hence, by the isospectrality of the Feshbach–Schur map, the operator  $H_{g,\theta}$  has a unique eigenvalue  $\lambda_{j,g}$  in the disc  $D(\lambda_{j,g}^{\geq\sigma}, \sigma/2)$  and this eigenvalue satisfies (6.14). Since on the other hand  $\lambda_{j,g}^{\geq\sigma} = \lambda_j + O(g^2)$  is the unique eigenvalue of the operator  $H_{g,\theta}^{\geq\sigma}$  bifurcating from the eigenvalue  $\lambda_j$  of  $H_0$ , we conclude that  $\lambda_{j,g}$  is the unique eigenvalue of the operator  $H_{g,\theta}$  emerging from the eigenvalue  $\lambda_j$  of  $H_0$ .  $\square$

## Acknowledgments

J.Fr. and I.M.S. would like to thank M. Griesemer for many useful discussions on related problems. J.Fa. is grateful to I.M.S. and W.A.S. for hospitality at the University of Toronto and I.M.S. and W.A.S.,

<sup>8</sup> In principle, the rescaling is not needed for the argument that follows, but we use it, since it is used the machinery developed in [4,5,2,9,8] and used here.

to J.Fr. for hospitality at ETHZ. The authors are grateful to the anonymous referee for careful reading the manuscript and several insightful remarks.

## References

- [1] W. Abou Salem, J. Fröhlich, Adiabatic theorems for quantum resonances, *Comm. Math. Phys.* 273 (2007) 651–675.
- [2] V. Bach, T. Chen, J. Fröhlich, I.M. Sigal, Smooth Feshbach map and operator-theoretic renormalization group methods, *J. Funct. Anal.* 203 (2003) 44–92.
- [3] V. Bach, J. Fröhlich, A. Pizzo, Infrared-finite algorithms in QED: The groundstate of an atom interacting with the quantized radiation field, *Comm. Math. Phys.* 264 (2006) 145–165.
- [4] V. Bach, J. Fröhlich, I.M. Sigal, Quantum electrodynamics of confined non-relativistic particles, *Adv. Math.* 137 (1998) 299–395.
- [5] V. Bach, J. Fröhlich, I.M. Sigal, Renormalization group analysis of spectral problems in quantum field theory, *Adv. Math.* 137 (1998) 205–298.
- [6] V. Bach, J. Fröhlich, I.M. Sigal, Spectral analysis for systems of atoms and molecules coupled to the quantized radiation fields, *Comm. Math. Phys.* 207 (1999) 249–290.
- [7] J. Faupin, Resonances of the confined hydrogen atom and the Lamb–Dicke effect in non-relativistic QED, *Ann. Henri Poincaré* 9 (2008) 743–773.
- [8] J. Fröhlich, M. Griesemer, I.M. Sigal, On spectral renormalization group, *Rev. Math. Phys.* (2009), in press, arXiv:0811.2616v1.
- [9] M. Griesemer, D. Hasler, Analytic perturbation theory and renormalization analysis of matter coupled to quantized radiation, *Ann. Henri Poincaré*, in press, arXiv:0801.4458.
- [10] M. Griesemer, D. Hasler, On the smooth Feshbach–Schur map, *J. Funct. Anal.* 254 (9) (2008) 2329–2335.
- [11] D. Hasler, I. Herbst, M. Huber, On the lifetime of quasi-stationary states in non-relativistic QED, *Ann. Henri Poincaré* 9 (2008) 1005–1028.
- [12] W. Hunziker, Resonances, metastable states and exponential decay laws in perturbation theory, *Comm. Math. Phys.* 132 (1990) 177–182.
- [13] W. Hunziker, I.M. Sigal, The quantum  $N$ -body problem, *J. Math. Phys.* 41 (2000) 3448–3510.
- [14] Ch. King, Resonant decay of a two state atom interacting with a massless non-relativistic quantized scalar field, *Comm. Math. Phys.* 165 (1994) 569–594.
- [15] M. Reed, B. Simon, *Methods of Modern Mathematical Physics, vol. IV, Analysis of Operators*, Academic Press, New York, 1978.
- [16] I.M. Sigal, Ground state and resonances in the standard model of the non-relativistic QED, *J. Stat. Mech.* (2009), in press, arXiv:0806.3297, mp\_arc 08-116.